COMPARATIVE PERFORMANCE OF POLYVOLTINE x BIVOLTINE HYBRIDS OF SEX-LIMITED COCOON COLOUR BREEDS OF SILKWORM, BOMBYX MORI L. UNDER DIFFERENT ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS

P.G. JOGE AND H.K. BASAVARAJA CENTRAL SERICULTURAL RESEARCH AND TRAINING INSTITUTE, MYSORE-570 008, INDIA.

In the present study an attempt has been made to evaluate the performance of the bivoltine sex-limited cocoon colour breeds with normal breeds and their polyvoltine x bivoltine hybrids under three different temperature and humidity conditions (25±1°C (65±5% RH), 31±1°C (50±5% RH) and 36±1°C (85±5% RH). By utilizing four bivoltine breeds viz. CSR2 normal, CSR2(SL) sex-limited for cocoon color, NB4D2 and CSR8(SL) sex-limited for cocoon color and 6 polyvoltine breeds viz. PM, Nistari, BL67, MY1, RD1, and PV1, 24 polyvoltine x bivoltine hybrids were prepared and studied. Significant differences were noticed for majority of the characters in bivoltine and polyvoltine breeds and their hybrids at different environmental conditions. The results of this study indicate that the performance of sex-limited cocoon colour bivoltine breed CSR2(SL) was marginally better than the normal bivoltine breed, CSR2 and also indicate that hybrids of sex limited breed CSR2(SL) with all polyvoltine breeds performed better than other breeds at adverse conditions. Therefore, it is concluded that sex-limited cocoon colour breed CSR2(SL) can be used as male component for preparation of polyvoltine x bivoltine hybrids throughout the year.

Key words: *Bombyx mori*, sex-limited cocoon colour breeds, Polyvoltine × bivoltine hybrids, different environmental conditions, performance.

INTRODUCTION

The success of silkworm rearing depends upon several factors of which the impact of the environmental factors such as biotic and abiotic factors is of vital importance. Among the biotic factors, temperature plays a major role on the growth of productivity in silkworm, as the silkworm is a poikilothermic insect (Benchamin & Jolly, 1956). It is known that the late age rearing silkworm prefers relatively lower temperature than the young age and fluctuation of temperature during different stages of larval development was found to be more favorable for the growth and development of the larvae than constant temperature. There is sufficient literature available that good quality cocoons are produced within a temperature range of 22-27°C beyond which the cocoon quality worsen (Krishnaswami et al., 1973). Suresh Kumar & Yamamoto (1995), Datta et al. (2001), Naseema Begum et al. (2001) and Suresh Kumar et al. (2001), while studying the effect of high temperature on F1 hybrids between polyvoltine and bivoltine indicated that the hybrids are more tolerant than pure races. There was maternal effect regarding temperature tolerance as evidenced from the better performance of those hybrids where the female parents used were more tolerant as pure races. Hesich, et al. (1995) made studies on the thermo-tolerance of silkworm and concluded that high temperature (36 ± 1°C) affected the survival rate more than other characters and the polyvoltines are more tolerant to high temperature than bivoltine strains during 4th and 5th instar and pupal stage.

Tsenov (1998) reported that the high temperature during 5th instar of silkworms decrease the food ingested, digested and assimilated and this cause the physiological imbalance. Suresh Kumar et al. (1999) indicated that the deleterious effect of high temperature and high humidity was more pronounced in productive bivoltine hybrids than the robust bivoltine hybrids. Expression of hybrid vigour was different in hybrids at different temperature treatments and all the hybrids excelled their parents in many characters with significant positive heterosis over MPV and BPV (Suresh Kumar et al., 2000). In sericulturally advanced countries like China, distinct bivoltine silkworm breeds suitable for rearing during summer and autumn are evolved (He et al., 1991). Japanese scientists have studied the influence of high temperature on silkworm growth and development (Takeuchi et al., 1964; Ohi & Yamashita, 1977). Kato et al. (1989) also studied the adverse effect of temperature on silkworm and reported that the temperature tolerance is a heritable character and it may be possible to evolve thermo-tolerant silkworm breeds. Suresh Kumar et al. (2003) studied that during the hostile environmental conditions especially in summer months, it is advisable to use the robust bivoltine breeds / hybrids as male components rather than using productive bivoltine breeds/ for better survivability and stable cocoon crop.

In the present study, an attempt has been made to evaluate the performance of the bivoltine sex-limited cocoon colour breeds with normal breeds and their polyvoltine x bivoltine hybrids under three different temperature and humidity conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In the present study, four bivoltine breeds *viz*. CSR2 normal, CSR2(SL) sex-limited for cocoon color, NB4D2 and CSR8(SL) sex-limited for cocoon color and 6 polyvoltine breeds, PM, Nistari, BL67, MY1, RD1, and PV1 were utilized for preparation of 24 polyvoltine x bivoltine hybrids (PM x CSR2, PM x CSR2(SL), PM x NB4D2, PM x CSR8(SL), Nistari x CSR2, Nistari x CSR2(SL), Nistari x NB4D2, Nistari x CSR8(SL), BL67 x CSR2, BL67 x CSR2(SL), BL67 x NB4D2, BL67 x CSR8(SL), MY1 x CSR2(SL), MY1 x NB4D2, MY1 x CSR8(SL), RD1 x CSR2(SL), RD1 x NB4D2, RD1 x CSR8(SL), PV1 x CSR2, PV1 x CSR2(SL), PV1 x NB4D2 and PV1 x CSR8(SL).

Test Temperature: Two bivoltine sex-limited cocoon colour (CSR2(SL), CSR8(SL), and two normal (CSR2, NB4D2, control), six polyvoltine parents (PM, Nistari, BL67, MY1, RD1, PV1) along with 24 hybrids were utilized as materials for the study. All the parents of bivoltine, polyvoltine and hybrids were subjected for three different temperature and humidity schedules, 25±1°C (65±5 % RH), 31±1°C (50±5% RH) and 36±1°C (85±5% RH). According to earlier studies in Japan (Kato et al. 1989), it was observed that there are two phases during the fifth age larval duration i.e. first two days as early phase and rest of the day till spinning (vulnerable to high temperature and high humidity conditions) as late phase. Hence, the thermal treatment at 36±1°C temperature was affected to the third day old larvae of fifth age for 6 hrs daily till mounting, with relative humidity maintained above 85% (Suresh Kumar et al., 1999).

Table 1: Mean of pure breeds at three different temperature treatments (Mean of 9 replications)

Breed	hub	Pupation rate	ate (%)	Vield/10	Yield/10.000 larvae (kg)	ae (kg)) (000	Cocoon weight (g)	t (g)	Cocoon	Cocoon shell weight (g)	ght (g)	Сосооп	Cocoon shell percentage	entage
Poly -	25±1°C	31±1°C 36±1°C	3,01∓9€	25±1°C	31±1°C	3€±1°C	25±1°C	31±1°C	3,01∓9€	25±1°C	25±1°C 31±1°C 36±1°C	36±1°C	25±1°C	31±18	36±1°C
volulle	% 5 + 2%	%S∓0S	85±5%	%S∓S9	\$0±5%	85±5%	%S∓59	\$0±5%	85±5%	%S∓S9	20±5%	85±5%	65±5%	\$0 + 5%	85±5%
	RH	RH	RH	RH	RH	RH	RH	RH	RH	RH	RH	RH	RH	RH	RH
_		2			3			4			5			9	
PM	87.4	81.3	58.2	66.6	8.12	6.22	1.134	866.0	0.955	0.160	0.144	0.134	14.1	14.5	14.0
	(69.5)	(64.4)	(49.7)											2000	
Nistari	90.4	83.4	1.99	9.54	8.34	6:39	1.028	1.000	0.965	0.141	0.138	0.130	13.8	13.8	13.4
	(72.0)	(0.99)	(54.4)												
BL67	86.9	76.3	47.5	12.30	11.17	7.39	1.487	1.464	1.258	0.253	0.255	0.220	17.0	17.4	17.5
	(689)	(6.09)	(43.5)												
MYI	93.7	69.2	47.6	15.17	7.18	4.75	1.518	1.055	1.021	0.239	0.160	0.146	15.8	15.2	14.3
	(76.4)	(56.3)	(43.6)												
RDI	85.2	71.3	39.6	12.24	8.52	4.00	1.272	1.217	1.003	0.165	0.150	0.129	13.0	12.3	12.8
	(87.8)	(57.6)	(38.9)				į.								
PVI	88.9	70.7	41.4	12.94	7.62	4.55	161.1	1.073	1.081	0.172	0.168	091.0	14.4	15.7	14.8
	(71.1)	(57.3)	(40.0)												
CD at 5%	(4.2)	(2.0)	(3.0)	0.77	0.43	0.58	0.045	0.053	0.042	0.004	0.008	0.004	9.4	0.4	9.0
2 - way anova	0va														
Tr ACD at 5%	(1.3)			0.24			0.019			0.003			0.20		
Tr BCD at 5%	(1.8)			0.33			0.026			0.004			0.29		
Env*Br (AxB)	(xB)														
SE ±	1.13			0.21		8	0.02			0.002			0.18		
CD at 5%	(3.1)			0.58			0.046			0.007			0.50		

Table I: (continued)

Bivoltine									,						
		2			3	•		4			5			9	
CSR 2	89.1 (71.2)	26.6 (31.0)	15.7	17.27	4.50	1.97	1.922	1.696	1.259	0.451	0.389	0.243	23.5	22.9	19.3
CSR2(SL)	90.8 (72.6)	32.4 (34.6)	20.6 (26.9)	14.76	4.87	2.63	1.625	1.503	1.274	0.347	0.298	0.241	21.4	19.8	18.9
NB4D2	90.8	43.4 (41.2)	33.4 (35.3)	15.86	16.9	3.96	1.746	1.590	1.184	0.377	0.312	0.203	21.6	19.6	17.2
CSR8SL	87.9 (69.0)	42.7 (40.7)	29.6 (32.0)	14.80	5.94	3.51	1.597	1.460	1.141	0.324	0.283	0.227	20.2	19.8	19.9
CD at 5%	:	(1.9)	(1.5)	1	0.57	0.29	0.054	0.041	0.064	0.017	0.012	0.014	0.70	0.67	06.0
2 - way anova	va														
Tr ACD at 5%	(1.2)			81.9			0.029			0.007			0.39		
Rt B CD at 5% (1.4)	(1.4)			ı			0.034			0.008			0.45		
Env*Br (AxB)	xB)														
SE ±	0.8℃			4.40			0.02			0.005			0.28		
CD at 5%	(5.4)			12.36			0.058			0.015			0.73		
Values in parentheses are angular transformed	heses are	angular tı	ansforme	Ġ.											

Table II.: Mean of hybrids at three different temperature treatments (Mean of 9 replications)

Table Tabl	Hybrid	Pupa	Pupation rate (%)	(%)	Yield/10	Yield/10,000 larvae (kg)	ae (kg)	. Coco	Cocoon weight (g)	t (g)	Cocoon	Cocoon shell weight (g)		Cocoon shell percentage	shell per	centage
644.5% 894.5% 894.5% 654.5% 604.5% 894.5% 654.5% 604.5% 894.5% 664.5% 804.5% 894.5% 664.5% 804.5% 894.5% 664.5% 804.5%<		25±1°C	±1°C	36±1°C	25±1°C	31±1°C	36±1°C	25±1°C	31±1°C	36±1°C	25±1°C	31±1°C	36±1°C	25±1°C	31±1°C	36±1°C
91.5 82.0 71.6 3 4 5 5 6 91.5 85.0 71.6 13.37 10.40 1.685 1.573 1.452 0.308 0.275 0.243 18.3 17.5 1 93.8 85.7 72.7 72.7 16.60 12.89 10.94 1.681 1.505 1.505 0.207 0.279 0.264 17.9 18.6 75.9 66.3 7.88 16.60 13.70 10.21 1.709 1.614 1.395 0.287 0.268 0.244 16.8 1.66 1.5 94.0 68.1 18.8 10.20 1.709 1.614 1.395 0.287 0.268 0.244 1.68 1.66 1.5 93.2 80.2 7.26 19.78 1.233 10.37 1.964 1.557 1.442 0.355 0.249 1.88 1.66 1.75 1.84 1.356 0.281 0.208 0.244 1.68 1.66 1.57		%\$ T \$9)±5%	85±5% BH	65±5%	50±5% RH	85±5% RH	65±5% RH	50±5% RH	85±5% RH		50±5% RH	85±5% RH	65±5% RH	50±5% RH	85±5% RH
91.5 85.0 71.6 1.63 1.63 1.573 1.452 0.308 0.275 0.243 18.3 17.5 93.8 85.7 72.7 16.60 12.89 10.94 1.681 1.505 0.302 0.279 0.264 17.9 18.6 75.9 (67.1) (38.8) 16.60 13.70 10.21 1.709 1.614 1.395 0.279 0.264 17.9 18.6 75.9 (67.1) (38.8) 16.60 13.70 10.21 1.709 1.614 1.395 0.287 0.268 0.244 16.8 16.6 15.9 16.2 1.23 10.37 1.964 1.557 1.442 0.355 0.274 0.245 18.6 16.6 1.5 1.2 1.2 1.024 1.564 1.557 1.442 0.355 0.244 16.8 16.6 1.5 1.2 1.2 1.024 1.571 1.442 0.355 0.274 0.245 18.1	_		2			3			4			8			9	
93.8 85.7 72.7 16.60 12.89 10.94 1.681 1.505 1.505 0.302 0.279 0.264 17.9 186 94.0 84.9 73.1 16.60 13.70 10.21 1.709 1.614 1.395 0.287 0.268 0.244 16.8 16.6 175.9) (67.1) (38.8) 16.60 13.70 10.21 1.709 1.614 1.395 0.287 0.268 0.244 16.8 16.6 1x 91.9 88.2 72.6 19.78 12.33 10.37 1.964 1.557 1.442 0.355 0.274 0.245 18.1 17.6 1x 91.9 88.2 79.8 1.611 1.378 1.641 1.617 1.376 0.281 18.1 17.1 15.7 1x 11.3 11.36 11.54 1.725 1.578 1.441 0.286 0.267 0.237 17.1 16.9 1x 95.3 84.1	PM ×	91.5	85.0	71.6	16.04	13.37	10.40	1.685	1.573	1.452	0.308	0.275	0.243	18.3	17.5	16.7
94.0 84.9 73.1 16.60 13.70 10.21 1.709 1.614 1.395 0.287 0.268 0.244 16.8 16.6 (75.9) (67.1) (58.8) 16.60 13.70 10.21 1.709 1.614 1.395 0.287 0.268 0.244 16.8 16.6 13.2 80.2 72.6 19.78 12.33 10.37 1.964 1.537 1.442 0.355 0.274 0.245 18.1 17.6 13.5 (67.3) 16.71 13.78 1.641 1.617 1.376 0.281 0.254 0.215 17.1 15.6 1 × 95.9 88.1 1.609 1.641 1.617 1.376 0.281 0.267 0.237 17.7 16.9 1 × 94.8 87.9 80.3 1.660 14.53 11.36 1.653 1.414 0.288 0.267 0.234 17.7 16.9 1 × 65.9 (66.7) (65.1) 16.50 1.84 1.727 <td>PM × CSR2(SL)</td> <td>93.8</td> <td>85.7</td> <td>72.7 (58.5)</td> <td>16.60</td> <td>12.89</td> <td>10.94</td> <td>1.681</td> <td>1.505</td> <td>1.505</td> <td>0.302</td> <td>0.279</td> <td>0.264</td> <td>17.9</td> <td>18.6</td> <td>17.6</td>	PM × CSR2(SL)	93.8	85.7	72.7 (58.5)	16.60	12.89	10.94	1.681	1.505	1.505	0.302	0.279	0.264	17.9	18.6	17.6
13.2 80.2 72.6 19.78 12.33 10.37 1.964 1.557 1.442 0.355 0.274 0.245 18.1 17.6 1x 91.9 85.2 79.8 12.33 10.37 1.964 1.557 1.442 0.355 0.274 0.245 18.1 17.6 x 91.9 85.2 79.8 15.71 13.78 1.641 1.617 1.376 0.281 0.254 0.215 17.1 15.7 x 96.9 88.1 80.9 1.70 13.90 11.54 1.725 1.578 1.427 0.306 0.267 0.237 17.7 16.9 x 96.9 88.1 80.9 11.54 1.725 1.538 1.414 0.288 0.267 0.237 17.7 16.9 x 96.9 88.1 16.0 14.53 11.36 1.633 1.434 1.533 1.444 0.288 0.267 0.234 17.7 16.1 x <td>PM × NB4D2</td> <td>94.0 (75.9)</td> <td>84.9</td> <td>73.1 (58.8)</td> <td>16.60</td> <td>13.70</td> <td>10.21</td> <td>1.709</td> <td>1.614</td> <td>1.395</td> <td>0.287</td> <td>0.268</td> <td>0.244</td> <td>16.8</td> <td>16.6</td> <td>17.5</td>	PM × NB4D2	94.0 (75.9)	84.9	73.1 (58.8)	16.60	13.70	10.21	1.709	1.614	1.395	0.287	0.268	0.244	16.8	16.6	17.5
1x 919 85.2 79.8 15.71 13.78 10.98 1.641 1.617 1.376 0.284 0.215 17.1 15.7 x 96.9 88.1 80.9 15.71 13.78 1.641 1.617 1.376 0.284 0.257 0.217 17.7 16.9 x 96.9 88.1 80.9 11.50 11.54 1.725 1.578 1.427 0.306 0.267 0.237 17.7 16.9 1x 94.8 87.9 80.3 16.60 14.53 11.36 1.673 1.643 1.536 0.267 0.234 17.2 16.9 1x 95.5 84.1 67.2 16.60 14.53 14.36 1.563 1.414 0.288 0.267 0.234 17.2 16.9 1x 95.5 84.1 16.73 1.643 1.563 1.414 0.288 0.267 0.234 17.7 16.9 1x 95.3 84.0 1.945 <td>PM× CSR8SL</td> <td>93.2 (75.9)</td> <td>80.2 (63.7)</td> <td>72.6 (58.6)</td> <td>19.78</td> <td>12.33</td> <td>10.37</td> <td>1.964</td> <td>1.557</td> <td>1.442</td> <td>0.355</td> <td>0.274</td> <td>0.245</td> <td>18.1</td> <td>17.6</td> <td>176.</td>	PM× CSR8SL	93.2 (75.9)	80.2 (63.7)	72.6 (58.6)	19.78	12.33	10.37	1.964	1.557	1.442	0.355	0.274	0.245	18.1	17.6	176.
× 96.9 88.1 80.9 11.54 17.25 1.578 1.427 0.306 0.267 0.237 17.7 16.9 1 (80.2) (69.9) (64.1) 17.07 13.90 11.54 1.725 1.578 1.427 0.306 0.267 0.234 17.7 16.9 1 (76.9) (69.7) (63.7) 16.60 14.53 11.36 1.653 1.414 0.288 0.267 0.234 17.2 16.1 1 95.5 84.1 67.2 19.54 12.33 9.40 1.945 1.505 1.433 0.355 0.266 0.236 18.2 17.7 1 78.6 166.7) 15.82 14.38 13.00 1.804 1.727 1.695 0.361 0.336 0.332 20.0 19.4 1 76.8 68.8 16.18 16.75 14.04 13.08 1.742 1.684 1.615 0.319 0.310 0.300 18.4	NISTARI ×	91.9	85.2 (67.4)	79.8 (63.3)	15.71	13.78	10.98	1.641	1.617	1.376	0.281	0.254	0.215	17.1	15.7	15.6
1× 94.8 87.9 80.3 16.60 14.53 11.36 1.673 1.653 1.414 0.288 0.267 0.234 17.2 16.1 1x 95.5 84.1 67.2 12.33 9.40 1.945 1.505 1.433 0.355 0.266 0.226 18.2 17.7 93.5 83.2 76.7 19.54 12.33 9.40 1.804 1.727 1.695 0.366 0.226 18.2 17.7 93.5 83.2 76.7 15.82 14.38 13.00 1.804 1.727 1.695 0.366 0.326 18.3 17.7 10.5 77.7 16.84 1.615 0.329 0.309 0.302 18.9 18.3 1, 76.8) (68.8) (61.8) 16.75 14.04 13.08 1.742 1.684 1.615 0.319 0.319 0.309 0.300 18.4 1, 3.3 (67.8) (61.5) 16.16 14.46 12.55 1.	ISTARI × CSR2(SL)	96.9	88.1 (69.9)	80.9 (64.1)	17.07	13.90	11.54	1.725	1.578	1.427	0.306	0.267	0.237	17.7	16.9	16.6
1× 95.5 84.1 67.2 19.54 12.33 9.40 1.945 1.505 1.433 0.355 0.266 0.226 18.2 17.7 93.5 83.2 76.7 19.54 12.33 9.40 1.945 1.505 1.435 0.366 0.226 18.2 17.7 93.5 83.2 76.7 15.82 14.38 13.00 1.804 1.727 1.695 0.361 0.336 0.332 20.0 19.4 94.7 86.9 77.7 14.04 13.08 1.742 1.684 1.615 0.329 0.309 0.302 18.9 18.3 1,74.8 16.18 16.15 14.46 12.55 1.714 1.688 1.627 0.319 0.310 0.300 18.6 18.4 13.3 (67.8) (61.5) 16.16 14.46 12.55 1.714 1.688 1.627 0.319 0.310 0.300 18.6 18.4 13.2.4 13.8 11.3	NISTARI × NB4D2	94.8 (76.9)	87.9 (69.7)	80.3 (63.7)	16.60	14.53	11.36	1.673	1.653	1.414	0.288	0.267	0.234	17.2	16.1	16.5
93.5 83.2 76.7 15.82 14.38 13.00 1.804 1.727 1.695 0.361 0.336 0.332 20.0 19.4 L) (75.4) (65.8) (61.1) 15.82 14.38 13.00 1.804 1.727 1.695 0.361 0.336 0.332 20.0 19.4 L) (76.8) (61.8) 16.75 14.04 13.08 1.742 1.684 1.615 0.319 0.309 0.302 18.9 18.3 91.7 85.7 77.2 16.16 14.46 12.55 1.714 1.688 1.627 0.319 0.310 0.300 18.6 18.4 89.7 73.2 53.1 18.88 11.36 5.92 2.016 1.628 0.387 0.322 0.289 19.2 19.8	NISTARI × CSR8SL	95.5	84.1 (66.7)	67.2 (55.1)	19.54	12.33	9.40	1.945	1.505	1.433	0.355	0.266	0.226	18.2	17.7	15.8
Q4.7 86.9 77.7 14.04 13.08 1.742 1.684 1.615 0.329 0.309 0.302 18.9 L) 76.8) (68.8) (61.8) 16.75 14.04 13.08 1.742 1.688 1.615 0.310 0.309 0.300 18.9 91.7 85.7 77.2 16.16 14.46 12.55 1.714 1.688 1.627 0.319 0.310 0.300 18.6 89.7 73.2 53.1 18.88 11.36 5.92 2.016 1.628 0.387 0.322 0.289 19.2	BL67 × CSR2	93.5	83.2 (65.8)	76.7 (61.1)	15.82	14.38	13.00	1.804	1.727	1.695	0.361	0.336	0.332	20.0	19.4	9.61
91.7 85.7 77.2 16.16 14.46 12.55 1.714 1.688 1.627 0.319 0.310 0.300 18.6 89.7 73.2 53.1 18.88 11.36 5.92 2.016 1.628 1.682 0.387 0.322 0.289 19.2	BL67 × CSR2(SL)	94.7	86.9 (8.8)	77.7 (61.8)	16.75	14.04	13.08	1.742	1.684	1.615	0.329	0.309	0.302	18.9	18.3	18.7
89.7 73.2 53.1 (72.4) (58.9) (46.8) 18.88 11.36 5.92 2.016 1.628 1.682 0.387 0.322 0.289 19.2	BL67 × NB4D2	91.7 (73.3)	85.7 (67.8)	77.2 (61.5)	16.16	14.46	12.55	1.714	1.688	1.627	0.319	0.310	0.300	18.6	18.4	18.5
	BL67 × CSR8SL	89.7 (72.4)	73.2 (58.9)	53.1 (46.8)	18.88	11.36	5.92	2.016	1.628		0.387	0.322	0.289		19.8	17.2

Table II: (continued)

		2			3			4			ĸ			9	
MY1×CSR2	92.3	76.4 (61.0)	35.0 (36.2)	20.01	11.37	4.13	2.098	1.474	1.561	1.474 1.561 0.406 0.259	0.259	0.285	19.4	17.6	18.3
	0.96	76.1	40.8	000			220	,,,,		, , ,	1	1300	2	9	1
MY1×CSR2SI.	(79.2)	(8.09)	(39.6)	20.39	11.29	4.79	7.066	1.465	1.419	1.465 1.419 0.385 0.264	0.264	0.254	18.7	18.0	1/.9
MY1×NB4D2	92.5	82.6	39.6	19.59	12.53	4.64	1.980	1.529	1.600	1.529 1.600 0.375 0.271	0.271	0.285	6.81	17.7	17.8
	89.1	85.9	41.4												
MY1×CSR8	(71.1)	(68.2)	(40.1)	20.76	12.88	4.79	2.112	1.545	1.542	1.545 1.542 0.387 0.272	_	0.272	18.4	17.6	17.7
COMPANY.	91.0	60.2	47.2	14.74	900	5.31	1 642	1 400	1441	1 499 1 441 0 287 0 269	0960	0 244	17.5	17.9	6 91
NOI XIND+D2	89.6	63.9	36.6		COV	10.0	710.1			107.0	27.0	7.7			201
RD1×CSR8	(71.6)	(53.1)	(37.2)	16.84	99.6	4.18	1.670	1.546	1.543	1.546 1.543 0.278 0.261	_	0.238	16.6	6.91	15.4
	94.1	62.6	54.3	50 61	37.01	06.		702	463	0.363	,,,,	1200	000	10.7	3 01
PV1×CSR2	(75.9)	(52.4)	(4/.5)	1/.95	10.40	07.0	1.814	1.720	1.403	1.720 1.403 0.303 0.322	0.322	0.271	70.07	10./	16.3
PV1×CSR2SL	92.5	(55.1)	(50.9)	17.82	10.43	6.83	1.861	1.587	1.440	1.587 1.440 0.368 0.310	0.310	0.263	19.8	19.5	18.3
	95.1	82.9	1.09												
PV1×NB4D2	(77.2)	(65.8)	(50.8)	16.21	12.27	6.94	1.711	1.469 1.444 0.345 0.276	1.444	0.345	0.276	0.260	20.2	18.8	18.0
	92.6	73.4	69.3			701	100	1463	3	222	720	035.0	0 01	9	
PVI×CSK8	(/8.6)	(0.60)	(26.3)	17.84	10.72	1.84	1./81	1.433	1.441	1.455 1.441 0.557 0.276	0.770	0.220	18.9	0.61	C./1
CD at 5%	(4.4)	(2.5)	(2.5)	1.35	0.72	0.75	0.105	0.051 0.051 0.028 0.009	0.051	0.028	0.000	0.010	0.67	0.48	0.52
2 - way anova	g									l					
Tr A CD at 5%	(0.7)			0.21			0.016			0.004			0.12		
Tr B CD at 5%	(1.9)		450	0.59			0.046			0.012			0.35		
Env*Br (AxB)	B)														
SE±	1.18			0.37			0.03			0.007			0.22		
CD at 5%	(3.3)			1.02	54		0.080			0.000			09.0		
				-											

Values in parentheses are angular transformed.

Silkworm rearing: The silkworm rearing was conducted in three replications following the standard method (Krishnaswami, 1978), till second day of fifth instar. Four hundred and fifty larvae were counted and retained after third moult. The bivoltine sex-limited parent with their normal parent and 24 polyvoltine x bivoltine hybrids were subjected to three different temperature treatments i.e. 25±1°C (65±5% RH). 31±1°C (50±5% RH) and 36±1°C (85±5% RH). After 48 hrs of fifth instar, 100 larvae were separated from each replication for two different thermal treatments (31±1°C temperature, 50±5% RH, 36±1°C temperature, 85±5% RH). The remaining 250 larvae of each replication served as control at 25±1°C and 65±5% RH. For thermal exposure, the larvae were kept in small plastic trays and reared in SERICATRON (Artificially designed environmental chamber) at 36±1°C and 85±5% RH and other treatment 31±1°C and 50±5% RH, the larvae were continuously reared till spinning. The cocoons were harvested on 7th day and assessment was made on the subsequent day. The survival rate was calculated as the number of live pupae to the number of larvae treated at $25\pm1^{\circ}$ C, $31\pm1^{\circ}$ C and $36\pm1^{\circ}$ C. respectively. The various parameters such as pupation rate, cocoon weight, shell weight and shell percentage were calculated for the batches reared at three different temperatures.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The performance of rearing was estimated under three different temperature schedules of $25\pm1^{\circ}\text{C}$ ($65\pm5\%$ RH), $31\pm1^{\circ}\text{C}$ ($50\pm5\%$ RH) and $36\pm1^{\circ}\text{C}$ ($85\pm5\%$ RH) in two sex-limited cocoon colour CSR2(SL), CSR8(SL) with their normal breeds (CSR2 and NB4D2), six polyvoltine breeds (PM, Nistari, BL67, MY1, RD1 and PV1) and twenty-four hybrids of *Bombyx mori* L.

Performance of pure breeds: The rearing performance, based on pupation rate, yield/10000 larvae and cocoon characters such as cocoon weight, cocoon shell weight and cocoon shell percentage of three trials was evaluated under three temperature schedules of $(25\pm1^{\circ}\text{C} \text{ and } 65\pm5\% \text{ RH})$, $(31\pm1^{\circ}\text{C} \text{ and } 50\pm5\% \text{ RH})$ and $(36\pm1^{\circ}\text{C} \text{ and } 85\pm5\% \text{ RH})$ are presented in Table I. The perusal of the data clearly indicate that there was no significant difference in pupation rate in the bivoltine breeds whereas significant difference was observed in the polyvoltine breeds at $25\pm1^{\circ}\text{C}$ and $65\pm5\% \text{ RH}$. With regard to yield/10000 larvae there was no significant difference in the bivoltine breeds but significant difference was observed in the polyvoltine breeds at $25\pm1^{\circ}\text{C}$ and $65\pm5\% \text{ RH}$. The cocoon weight, shell weight and the shell percentage of the bivoltine as well as polyvoltine breeds at $25\pm1^{\circ}\text{C}$ & $65\pm5\%$ RH showed significant difference.

At 31±1°C and 50±5% RH, the performance of all the breeds, both bivoltine and polyvoltine were lower when compared to the normal temperature and humidity conditions. There was significant difference for all the breeds for all the characters. The pupation rate ranged from 26.6 to 83.4% with highest of 83.4% recorded for Nistari and lowest of 26.6% recorded for CSR2. The yield/ 10,000 larvae ranged from 4.5 to 11.17 kg with the highest of 11.7 kg recorded for BL67 and the lowest of 4.50 kg recorded for CSR2. The cocoon weight ranged from 0.998 to 1.696 g with the highest of 1.696 g recorded for CSR2 and the lowest of 0.998 g recorded for pure Mysore. The cocoon shell weight ranged from 0.138 to 0.389 g with the highest of 0.389 g recorded for CSR2 and the lowest of

0.138 g recorded for Nistari. The cocoon shell percentage ranged from 12.3 to 22.9% with the highest of 22.9% recorded for CSR2 and the lowest of 12.3% recorded in RD1.

At 36±1°C and 85±5% RH, the performance of all the breeds was the lowest when compared to other two temperature treatments. There was significant difference for all the breeds for all the characters. The pupation rate ranged from of 15.7 to 66.1% with the highest of 66.1% recorded for Nistari and the lowest of 15.7% recorded in CSR2. The yield/ 10000 larvae ranged from 1.97 to 7.39 kg with the highest of 7.39 kg recorded for BL67 and the lowest of 1.97 kg recorded for CSR2. The cocoon weight ranged from 0.995 to 1.274 g with the highest of 1.274 g recorded for CSR2(SL) and the lowest of 0.955 g recorded for pure Mysore. The cocoon shell weight ranged from 0.129 to 0.243 g with the highest of 0.243 g recorded for CSR2 and the lowest of 0.129 g recorded for RD1. The cocoon shell percentage ranged from 12.8 to 19.9% with the highest of 19.9% recorded for CSR8(SL) and the lowest of 12.8% recorded for RD1.

Performance of Hybrids: The rearing performance of all the hybrids considered for the study are presented in Table II. Perusal of the data clearly indicate that there was significant difference in all the characters for all the hybrids.

The pupation rate at 25±1°C and 65±5% RH ranged from 89.1 to 96.9% with the highest of 96.9% was recorded for Nistari × CSR2 and the lowest of 89.1 % was recorded for MY1 x CSR8(SL). The yield/ 10000 larvae ranged from 15.71 to 20.76 kg with the highest of 20.76 kg recorded for MY1 x CSR8 SL and the lowest of 15.71 kg recorded for Nistari x CSR2. The cocoon weight ranged from 1.641 to 2.112 g with the highest of 2.112 g recorded for MY1 x CSR8(SL) and the lowest of 1.641 g recorded for Nistari x CSR2. The cocoon shell weight ranged from 0.278 to 0.407 g with the highest of 0.407 g recorded for MY1 x CSR2 and the lowest of 27.78 g recorded for RD1 x CSR8(SL). The cocoon shell percentage ranged from 17.1 to 20.1% with the highest of 20.1 recorded for BL67 x CSR2 and the lowest of 17.1% recorded for Nistari x CSR2.

The pupation rate at 31±1°C and 50±5% RH, ranged from 60.2 to 88.1 with the highest of 88.1% recorded for Nistari x CSR2SL and the lowest of 60.2% recorded for RD1 x NB4D2. The yield/ 10000 larvae ranged from 9.05 to 14.46 kg with the highest of 14.46 kg recorded for BL67 x NB4D2 and the lowest of 9.05 kg recorded for RD1 x NB4D2. The cocoon weight ranged from 1.474 to 1.727 g with the highest of 1.727 g recorded for BL67 x CSR2 and the lowest off 1.474 g recorded for MY1 x CSR2. The cocoon shell weight ranged from 0.254 to 0.336 g with the highest of 0.336 g recorded for BL67 x CSR2 and the lowest of 0.254 g Nistari x CSR2. The cocoon shell percentage ranged from 15.7 to 19.5% with the highest of 19.5% recorded for BL67 x CSR2 and the lowest of 19.5% recorded for BL67 x CSR2 and the lowest of 15.7% recorded for Nistari x CSR2.

The pupation rate at $36\pm1^{\circ}$ C and $85\pm5^{\circ}$ RH ranged from 31.7 to 80.9° with the highest 80.9° recorded for Nistari x CSR2 and the lowest of 31.7° recorded for RD1 x CSR2. The yield/ 10000 larvae ranged from 3.46 to 13.08 kg with the highest of 13.08 kg recorded for BL67 x CSR2(SL) and the lowest of 3.46 kg recorded for RD1 x CSR2. The cocoon weight ranged from 1.376 to 1.682 g with the highest of 1.682 g recorded for BL67 x CSR8(SL) and the lowest of 1.376 g recorded for Nistari × CSR2. The cocoon shell

weight ranged from 0.215 to 0.332 g with the highest of 0.332 g recorded for BL67 x CSR2 and the lowest of 0.215 g recorded Nistari x CSR2. The cocoon shell percentage ranged from 15.6 to 19.6% with the highest of 19.6% recorded for BL67 x CSR2 and the lowest of 15.6% recorded for Nistari x CSR2.

Like the pure breeds the interaction of different rearing parameters with different temperature treatments for the hybrids were also calculated by employing ANOVA. The data clearly indicate that the interaction of pupation rate, yield/10000 larvae, cocoon weight, cocoon shell weight and cocoon shell percentage with the different temperature treatments are highly significant.

In the life cycle of silkworm, environmental factors especially temperature and relative humidity play an important role. The sericultural country like India, indigenous races are well adapted to the fluctuating climatic conditions characterized by high temperature, but they are very poor in productivity, Keeping in view, efforts over a decade to improve the quality of raw silk has resulted in the development of many productive and qualitatively superior breeds (Basavaraja et al., 1995). One of the main aims of the breeders is to recommend to the farmers breeds that are stable under different environment conditions. The silkworm breeds reared over a series of environments exhibiting less variation are considered more stable. It was observed in this study that the performance of hybrids was better than the pure races and is in concurrence with earlier works of Kato et al. (1989) and Suresh Kumar et al. (1999).

Hybridization coupled with selection has been exploited as an important tool by many silkworm breeders for the improvement of silkworm for their maximum gain. Whatever may be the hybrid vigour shown by the hybrids, it may not give anticipated yield and cocoon characters in adverse environmental conditions. The results of the present study indicated the deleterious effect of high temperature on the rearing performance and the cocoon parameters of all the pure races and hybrids and corroborates the earlier works of Suresh Kumar et al. (2003). It was suggested that the any study involving temperature as one of the environmental factors affecting viability followed by cocoon traits is a trend setter to provide basis to formulate appropriate selection policies for required environments. It was also recorded that when both the parental strains and the hybrids are raised in unfavorable conditions, performance of the hybrids will be superior to both the parental strains (Nagaraju et al., 1996). The main objective of the study was to evaluate the performance of sex-limited cocoon colour breeds under fluctuating temperature conditions in comparison with the normal breeds CSR2 and NB4D2 witch are in use as male component with the polyvoltine breeds particularly Pure Mysore and Nistari.

The results of this study indicate that the performance of sex-limited cocoon colour bivoltine breed CSR2(SL) was marginally better than the normal bivoltine breed, CSR2. This study also indicates that hybrids of sex-limited breed CSR2(SL) with all polyvoltine breeds performed better than other breeds at adverse conditions. Therefore, it is concluded that sex-limited cocoon colour breed CSR2(SL) can be used as male component throughout the year.

REFERENCES

- BASAVARAJA, H.K., NIRMAL KUMAR, S., SURESH KUMAR, N., MAL REDDY, N., KSHAMA GIRIDHAR, AHSAN, M.M. & DATTA, R.K. 1995. New productive Bivoltine hybrids. *Indian Silk*. 34: 5-9.
- BENCHAMIN, K.V & JOLLY, M.S. 1986. Principles of silkworm rearing. In: *Proc. Of Sem. On Problems and Prospects of sericulture* (Mahalingam, S. Ed.). Vellore, India. pp. 63-108.
- DATTA, R.K., SURESH KUMAR, N., BASAVARAJA, H.K., KISHOR KUMAR, C. M. & MAL REDDY, N. 2001. "CSR18 x CSR19"-A robust bivoltine hybrid for all season rearing in the tropics. *Indian Silk.* 39: 5-7.
- HE, YI., SIMA, Y-H., JIANG, D-X & DAI, P. 1991. Breeding of the silkworm varieties for summer and autumn rearing. *Canye Kexue*. 17(4): 200-207.
- HSIEH, F.K., S. J. YU, S.J., SU, S. Y. & PENG. S. J. 1995. Studies on the thermo-tolerance of the silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. *Zsongriva*.
- KATO, M., NAGAYASU, K., NINAGI, O., HARA, W. & WATANABE A. 1989. Study on resistance of the silkworm *Bombyx mori* to high temperature. Proc. Of the 6th International Congr. Of SABRAO (II). pp. 953-956.
- KRISHNASWAMI, S. 1978. NewTechnology of Silkworm Rearing. Bull. No. 2, CSRTI, Mysore, 23.
- KRISHANSWAMI, S., NARASIMHANNA, M.N., SURYANARAYANA, S.K. & KUMARARAJ, S. 1973. Silkworm rearing Bulletin 15/2 FAO Agricultural Services, United Nations Organizations, Rome. Pp. 53-90.
- NASEEMA BEGUM, A., REKHA, M., BASAVARAJA H. K. & AHSAN M. M. 2001. Evolution and identification of thermo-tolerant hybrids in the silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. Int. *J. Indust. Entomol.* 6(2): 171-178.
- OHI, H. & YAMASHITA, A. 1977. On the breeding of the silkworm races J137 and C137. Bull. Sericult. Exp. Stn. 27: 97-139.
- SURESH KUMAR, N. & YAMAMOTO, T. 1995. Maternal effect of resistance to high temperature in F1 hybrids between polyvoltine and bivoltine silkworm race. Paper presented at the Annual Sericulture Conference held on 9/10-11-95 at Nigata, Japan.
- SURESH KUMAR, N., KISHOR KUMAR, C.M., BASAVARAJA, H.K., MAL REDDY, N., RAMESH BABU, M.& DATTA, R. K. 1999. Comparative performance of robust and productive bivoltine hybrids of *Bombyx mori* L under high temperature conditions. *Sericologia* 39(4): 567-571.
- SURESH KUMAR, N., BASAVARAJA, H.K., MAL REDDY, N., JAYASWAL K.P. & DATTA R.K. 2000 Heterosis in newly evolved CSR bivoltine hybrids of silkworm *Bombyx mori* L. at room and high temperature conditions. Paper presented at the National Conference on Strategies for Sericulture Research and Development held at CSRTI, Mysore on 16-18 November 2000.
- SURESH KUMAR, N., YAMAMOTO, T., BASAVARAJA, H.K. & DATTA, R. K. 2001. Studies on the effect of high temperature on F1 hybrids between polyvoltine and bivoltine silkworm races of *Bombyx mori* L. Int. *J. Indust*. Entomol. **2**(2): 123-127.
- SURESH KUMAR, N., BASAVARAJA, H.K., MAL REDDY, N. & DANDIN, S.B. 2003. Effect of high temperature and high humidity on the quantitative traits of parents, foundation crosses, single and double hybrids of bivoltine silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. *Int. J. Indust*. *Entomol.* 6(2): 197-202.
- TAKEUCHI Y., KOSAKA, T. & UEDA, S. 1964. The effects of rearing temperature upon the amount of food ingested and digested. Technical Bull. Of Seric. Exp. Stn. 84: 1-12.
- TZENOV, P. 1998. Effect of high temperature during the 5th larval instar on mulberry leaf ingestion, digestion and utilization in pure lines of the silkworm. *Zhivotnov dni Nauki*. 40-43.