Uttar Pradesh Journal of Zoology

Volume 45, Issue 22, Page 92-103, 2024; Article no.UPJOZ.4006
ISSN: 0256-971X (P)

Exploring the Microbial Ecology of Nitrification Denitrification in Wastewater Treatment: Innovations and Future Directions

Sumitha J [a++*], Tashmi Konwar [b], Pranav Raj [c#], Janardhan Namdeo Nehul [d†], Prasanta Protim Hazarika [e], Sourav Gangopadhyay [f++], Dipanwita Chattopadhyay [g‡], T. Sampathkumar [h^] and K.K. Sivakumar [i##]

[a] Department of Microbiology, Justice Basheer Ahmed Sayeed College for Women (Autonomous), Chennai-600018, Tamil Nadu, India.
[b] Department of Agricultural Biotechnology, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat, 785013, Assam, India.
[c] Department of Soil Science and Agriculture Chemistry, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Sciences, India.
[d] Department of Botany, Dadapatil Rajale College of Science, Adinathnagar, Tal-Pathardi, Dist- Ahmednagar (M.S.), India.
[e] Citrus and Plantation Crops Research Station, Assam Agricultural University, Tinsukia, 786125, Assam, India.
[f] Brainware University, India.
[g] Department of Hospital Management Brainware University, India.
[h] Agricultural College and Research Institute, Madurai – 625104, India.
[i] Mohan Babu University, Tirupati. AP, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.56557/upjoz/2024/v45i224662

[++] *Associate Professor;*
[#] *Research Scholar;*
[†] *Associate Professor and Head;*
[‡] *Assistant Professor;*
[^] *Assistant Professor (Agronomy);*
[##] *Associate Professor/SoLAS;*
**Corresponding author: Email: jsumeetha@gmail.com;*

Cite as: *J, Sumitha, Tashmi Konwar, Pranav Raj, Janardhan Namdeo Nehul, Prasanta Protim Hazarika, Sourav Gangopadhyay, Dipanwita Chattopadhyay, T. Sampathkumar, and K.K. Sivakumar. 2024. "Exploring the Microbial Ecology of Nitrification Denitrification in Wastewater Treatment: Innovations and Future Directions". UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY 45 (22):92-103. https://doi.org/10.56557/upjoz/2024/v45i224662.*

Open Peer Review History:
This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://prh.mbimph.com/review-history/4006

Review Article

Received: 25/06/2024
Accepted: 30/08/2024
Published: 05/12/2024

ABSTRACT

The nitrification-denitrification process serves as a critical mechanism in the biological removal of nitrogen from wastewater, a necessity for mitigating the environmental impact of nitrogen compounds on aquatic ecosystems. This complex process is orchestrated by diverse microbial communities, whose ecological interactions and functional capabilities are central to the efficiency and stability of nitrogen removal. In recent years, advances in molecular biology and microbial ecology have shed new light on the intricate dynamics within these microbial communities, revealing the roles of lesser known microbial taxa and the importance of microbial community structure and function in optimizing nitrification denitrification processes. This review provides a comprehensive examination of the microbial ecology involved in nitrification and denitrification, exploring the roles of key microbial players, including ammonia oxidizing bacteria (AOB), Nitrite oxidizing Bacteria (NOB), ammonia oxidizing archaea (AOA), and denitrifying bacteria. The review also delves into the environmental factors that influence microbial community dynamics, such as pH, temperature, oxygen availability, and the presence of inhibitory substances. By understanding these factors, the review highlights the challenges of maintaining stable and efficient nitrogen removal processes, particularly in the face of environmental fluctuations and operational disturbances, the review discusses recent innovations in wastewater treatment technologies that leverage microbial ecology to enhance process efficiency. These include bio-augmentation strategies, where specific microbial strains are introduced to bolster nitrification and denitrification, and advanced bioreactor designs, such as moving bed biofilm reactors (MBBRs) and membrane bioreactors (MBRs), which provide optimized environments for microbial growth and activity. The integration of anaerobic ammonium oxidation (anammox) processes, which offer significant energy savings and reduce greenhouse gas emissions, is also explored as a promising alternative to traditional nitrification pathways.

Keywords: Exploring microbial; ecology nitrification denitrification; wastewater treatment; innovations.

1. INTRODUCTION

Wastewater treatment plays a pivotal role in safeguarding public health and protecting the environment by ensuring that water released into natural ecosystems is free from harmful pollutants (Ahn, 2006). Among the many contaminants present in wastewater, nitrogen compounds—specifically ammonia, nitrite, and nitrate—are of particular concern due to their potential to cause eutrophication in aquatic environments, leading to oxygen depletion and the loss of biodiversity (Blackburne et al., 2008; Broda, 1977). The effective removal of these nitrogenous compounds is therefore essential, not only to comply with stringent environmental regulations but also to preserve the ecological balance of receiving water bodied. Biological nitrogen removal, a cornerstone of modern wastewater treatment, leverages natural microbial processes to convert harmful nitrogen compounds into benign nitrogen gas (N_2), which constitutes the majority of Earth's atmosphere. This process primarily involves two key biochemical pathways: nitrification and denitrification, each facilitated by distinct microbial communities with specialized metabolic capabilities.

Nitrification, the first step in biological nitrogen removal, is an aerobic process where ammonia (NH_3) is sequentially oxidized to nitrite (NO_2^-) and then to nitrate (NO_3^-). This process is primarily driven by autotrophic bacteria, such as ammonia oxidizing bacteria (AOB) from the Nitrosomonas genus and nitrite oxidizing bacteria (NOB) like Nitrobacter spp. Additionally, ammonia oxidizing archaea (AOA), particularly in low ammonia environments, play a significant role in this process. The efficiency of nitrification is highly dependent on the environmental conditions within the treatment system, including factors such as dissolved oxygen levels, temperature, pH, and the presence of inhibitory substances (Rasool et al., 2024; Chen and Zhang, 2013). Disruptions in these conditions can lead to incomplete nitrification, resulting in the accumulation of toxic intermediates like nitrite. Following nitrification, denitrification serves as the second crucial step in biological nitrogen removal, where nitrate is reduced to nitrogen gas under anoxic conditions. Unlike nitrification, denitrification is a heterotrophic process, typically carried out by bacteria such as *Pseudomonas, Paracoccus*, and *Bacillus* species. These microorganisms use nitrate as an alternative electron acceptor in the absence of oxygen, facilitating its reduction through intermediate forms, including nitrite, nitric oxide (NO), and nitrous oxide (N_2O), before finally producing nitrogen gas (Rasool et al., 2021; Francis et al., 2007). The denitrification process is inherently more complex than nitrification due to its reliance on the availability of organic carbon as an energy source and the delicate balance of redox conditions required for its optimal performance.

The intricate interplay between the microbial communities involved in nitrification and denitrification underscores the importance of microbial ecology in wastewater treatment. The success of biological nitrogen removal hinges on maintaining a stable and balanced microbial ecosystem within the treatment plant, capable of adapting to fluctuations in wastewater composition and operational conditions. However, achieving this balance presents significant challenges, as the environmental requirements for nitrification and denitrification are often in conflict. For instance, while nitrification requires oxygen rich conditions, denitrification is inhibited by the presence of oxygen, necessitating careful management of reactor conditions to ensure both processes can occur efficiently (Fux and Siegrist, 2004; Rasool et al., 2024).

In recent years, advancements in molecular biology and microbial ecology have provided deeper insights into the microbial dynamics that govern nitrification denitrification processes. These advancements have led to the identification of previously unrecognized microbial players, the development of new strategies for enhancing microbial activity, and the design of more sophisticated bioreactor systems that optimize the conditions for nitrogen removal (Reddy et al., 2024). For example, the discovery of ammonia oxidizing archaea has expanded our understanding of nitrification, particularly in low oxygen environments, while the exploration of anammox (anaerobic ammonium oxidation) bacteria has opened new avenues for nitrogen removal that bypass traditional nitrification pathways entirely. Despite these advancements, numerous challenges remain in optimizing nitrification denitrification processes for largescale wastewater treatment (Arubalueze and Ilodibia, 2024). These challenges include managing the complex interactions between microbial populations, mitigating the impact of inhibitory substances, and ensuring consistent process performance under varying environmental conditions. Moreover, the increasing demand for energy efficient and sustainable wastewater treatment solutions has spurred interest in developing innovative technologies that can enhance the efficiency of biological nitrogen removal while minimizing environmental impact.

This review aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the current understanding of the microbial ecology of nitrification and denitrification in wastewater treatment. It will explore the roles of key microbial communities, the environmental factors influencing their activity, and the challenges associated with maintaining efficient nitrogen removal processes. Additionally, the review will highlight recent innovations in wastewater treatment technology, including the use of bioaugmentation, advanced bioreactor designs, and the integration of emerging processes such as anammox (Goreau et al., 1980). Finally, the review will discuss future research directions needed to overcome existing limitations and optimize wastewater treatment systems for enhanced nitrogen removal, contributing to the development of more resilient and sustainable environmental protection strategies.

2. MICROBIAL ECOLOGY OF NITRIFICATION

The nitrification process is mediated by two distinct groups of microorganisms: ammonia oxidizing bacteria (AOB) and nitrite oxidizing bacteria (NOB). AOB, such as Nitrosomonas spp., initiate the process by converting ammonia to nitrite (Bari et al., 2004). Subsequently, NOB, including Nitrobacter spp., oxidize nitrite to nitrate. Recent studies have also identified ammonia oxidizing archaea (AOA) as significant contributors to nitrification, especially in low ammonia environments. The ecological balance between AOB, NOB, and AOA is essential for maintaining efficient nitrification, as disturbances can lead to the accumulation of nitrite, a toxic intermediate (Guo et al., 2009). The microbial communities involved in nitrification are sensitive to environmental factors such as pH, temperature, dissolved oxygen levels, and the presence of inhibitory substances. Additionally, competition and cooperation between AOB, NOB, and other microbial groups can influence nitrification efficiency. For instance, the presence of heterotrophic bacteria that compete for oxygen can inhibit nitrification (He and Zhang, 2015). Therefore, understanding the microbial ecology of nitrification is vital for optimizing conditions in wastewater treatment plants to enhance nitrogen removal efficiency.

3. MICROBIAL ECOLOGY OF DENITRIFICATION

Denitrification is a facultative anaerobic process where nitrate is reduced to nitrogen gas through intermediate steps, including the production of nitrite, nitric oxide, and nitrous oxide. This process is carried out by a diverse group of heterotrophic bacteria, including *Pseudomonas*, *Paracoccus*, and *Bacillus* species, which use nitrate as an electron acceptor in the absence of oxygen (Afroz, 2022). The microbial ecology of denitrification is more complex than nitrification, as it involves multiple metabolic pathways and a broader range of environmental conditions.

The efficiency of denitrification is influenced by factors such as the availability of organic carbon, the presence of competing electron acceptors (e.g., oxygen, sulfate), and the redox potential. The presence of oxygen, even in low concentrations, can inhibit denitrification, as facultative denitrifiers may switch to aerobic respiration. Additionally, incomplete denitrification can lead to the accumulation of nitrous oxide, a potent greenhouse gas. Therefore, optimizing the microbial ecology for complete denitrification is crucial for both nitrogen removal and minimizing environmental impact (Jetten et al., 1997).

4. CHALLENGES IN NITRIFICATION DENITRIFICATION PROCESSES

One of the primary challenges in nitrification denitrification is maintaining the balance between these processes, as they require different environmental conditions. Nitrification is an aerobic process, while denitrification is anaerobic. Achieving the right conditions for both processes within a single treatment system can be difficult, particularly in conventional activated sludge systems (Kim and Lee, 2003). Another challenge is the sensitivity of nitrifying bacteria to environmental disturbances, such as temperature fluctuations, pH changes, and the presence of toxic compounds (Pattoo, 2023). These disturbances can lead to process instability, resulting in incomplete nitrification or the accumulation of nitrite (Kuypers et al., 2005). Furthermore, the microbial communities involved in denitrification are highly diverse, and shifts in community composition can affect the efficiency of nitrogen removal and the emission of nitrous oxide.

5. INNOVATIONS IN NITRIFICATION DENITRIFICATION

To address these challenges, various innovations have been developed in recent years. One approach is bioaugmentation, where specific microbial strains with high nitrification or denitrification capabilities are introduced into the treatment system to enhance process performance. Another innovation is the use of advanced bioreactor designs, such as sequencing batch reactors (SBRs) and membrane bioreactors (MBRs), which allow for better control of environmental conditions, facilitating the separation of nitrification and denitrification processes. Additionally, research into microbial community engineering, where the composition and activity of microbial consortia are manipulated to optimize nitrogen removal, is gaining traction (Lackner et al., 2014; Li and Elliott, 2013; Liu et al., 2014; Lu and Chandran, 2010; Ma et al., 2015; Mulder et al., 1995). This approach involves the use of synthetic biology techniques to create microbial communities with enhanced functional capabilities, potentially leading to more efficient and resilient wastewater treatment systems.

6. FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Future research in the microbial ecology of nitrification denitrification should focus on understanding the interactions between different microbial groups and their response to environmental changes (Pérez and Lema, 2010; Sharma et al., 2010; Philippot and Hallin 2005). This includes studying the role of less well characterized microorganisms, such as AOA and anaerobic ammonia oxidizing (anammox) bacteria, in nitrogen removal. Additionally, there is a need for the development of real time monitoring tools to track microbial community dynamics and process performance, enabling more responsive and adaptive management of wastewater treatment systems, integrating advanced molecular techniques, such as metagenomics and meta transcriptomics, with traditional microbiological methods will provide deeper insights into the functional potential and activity of microbial communities in nitrification denitrification processes. These insights can inform the design of more robust and efficient treatment systems, capable of handling varying wastewater characteristics and environmental conditions.

7. EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES AND THEIR IMPACT ON NITRIFICATION DENITRIFICATION

The evolving landscape of wastewater treatment is witnessing the introduction of several emerging technologies that could revolutionize the nitrification denitrification process. Among these, two of the most promising are the application of advanced biofilm reactors and the integration of anammox (anaerobic ammonium oxidation) processes.

8. ADVANCED BIOFILM REACTORS

Biofilm reactors, such as moving bed biofilm reactors (MBBRs) and integrated fixed film activated sludge (IFAS) systems, have gained significant attention for their ability to enhance nitrification denitrification efficiency. In these systems, microorganisms grow as a biofilm on carriers, which provides a protected environment that stabilizes the microbial community against environmental fluctuations (Nampelli and Gangadhar, 2023; Rittmann and McCarty, 2001). The use of biofilm reactors offers several advantages over traditional suspended growth systems. The biofilm's structure allows for the coexistence of aerobic and anaerobic zones within the same reactor, thereby facilitating simultaneous nitrification and denitrification. This is particularly beneficial in systems where space constraints or fluctuating load conditions are present. Additionally, the increased retention time for slow growing nitrifiers in biofilm reactors enhances nitrification rates and reduces the risk of nitrite accumulation. Recent advancements in carrier material design, including the development of high surface area and highly porous materials, have further improved the performance of biofilm reactors (Ganaie et al., 2023). These innovations increase the microbial biomass that can be sustained within the reactor, thus boosting overall nitrogen removal efficiency. Moreover, biofilm reactors have shown greater resilience to toxic shocks and operational disturbances, making them suitable for treating industrial wastewater with complex and variable compositions.

9. INTEGRATION OF ANAMMOX PROCESSES

The integration of anammox processes into wastewater treatment systems represents a paradigm shift in nitrogen removal. Anammox bacteria, such as those from the genus Candidatus Brocadia, convert ammonium and nitrite directly into nitrogen gas under anaerobic conditions, bypassing the need for organic carbon and reducing the overall energy requirements for nitrogen removal. Anammox processes can be integrated with partial nitritation (the conversion of ammonia to nitrite) in a process known as de ammonification (Schmidt and van Spanning, 2002; Strous et al., 1999). This combination has proven particularly effective in treating high strength nitrogenous waste streams, such as sludge liquor from anaerobic digestion. The lower oxygen demand and reduced sludge production associated with anammox processes offer significant cost savings and make the technology attractive for largescale implementation, the successful application of anammox in full scale wastewater treatment plants presents several challenges (Chugh et al., 2023). Anammox bacteria have slow growth rates and are sensitive to changes in environmental conditions, such as temperature and pH. Therefore, maintaining stable anammox populations requires careful process control and monitoring. Advances in process engineering, including the development of granular sludge reactors and the use of membrane based technologies, are helping to overcome these challenges and make anammox a viable option for mainstream wastewater treatment.

10. ENVIRONMENTAL AND ECONOMIC CONSIDERATIONS

While the technological advancements discussed above have the potential to significantly improve the nitrification denitrification process, it is essential to consider their environmental and economic implications. The adoption of these technologies must align with the goals of sustainability, resource efficiency, and cost-effectiveness.

11. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

One of the key environmental considerations is the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions associated with wastewater treatment. Traditional denitrification processes can lead to the production of nitrous oxide (N_2O), a potent greenhouse gas. The integration of anammox, which inherently minimizes N_2O emissions, presents a promising solution to this issue. Moreover, the energy savings achieved through reduced aeration requirements in biofilm reactors and anammox processes contribute to a lower carbon footprint, the environmental benefits of these technologies must be weighed against potential risks (Thauer, 1998). For example, the release of anammox biomass or the breakdown of biofilm carriers into the environment could pose ecological risks if not properly managed. Therefore, thorough environmental impact assessments and the development of robust management strategies are necessary for the sustainable deployment of these technologies.

12. ECONOMIC FEASIBILITY

The economic feasibility of adopting advanced nitrification denitrification technologies depends on several factors, including capital investment, operational costs, and the potential for resource recovery. While the initial costs of installing biofilm reactors or anammox systems may be higher than conventional activated sludge systems, the long term savings in energy, chemicals, and sludge management can offset these costs, the potential for resource recovery, such as the generation of biogas from anaerobic processes or the extraction of valuable nutrients, can enhance the economic viability of these technologies (Nargawe et al., 2023). The integration of wastewater treatment with resource recovery aligns with the principles of the circular economy and can provide additional revenue streams for treatment facilities/. To ensure the widespread adoption of these technologies, it is crucial to develop scalable solutions that can be tailored to the specific needs of different wastewater treatment plants. Pilot studies and demonstration projects play a vital role in validating the economic and environmental benefits of these technologies and in building confidence among stakeholders.

13. POLICY AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORKS

The successful deployment and optimization of advanced nitrification denitrification technologies in wastewater treatment systems are intrinsically linked to the establishment of robust and forward thinking policy and regulatory frameworks. These frameworks are essential not only for setting environmental standards but also for fostering innovation, ensuring public health and environmental protection, and facilitating the widespread adoption of cutting edge technologies. Policymakers, regulatory bodies, and other stakeholders play a pivotal role in shaping these frameworks to align with the evolving needs of the industry and society at large.

14. ENCOURAGING INNOVATION

Innovation is the driving force behind the development of more efficient, sustainable, and cost effective wastewater treatment technologies. To foster an environment conducive to innovation, governments and regulatory bodies must take proactive steps to support research and development (R&D) initiatives. This can be achieved through several mechanisms:

1. Funding and Grants: Public funding for R&D in wastewater treatment is crucial for advancing knowledge and technology. Governments can allocate resources to academic institutions, research centers, and private companies to explore new approaches to nitrification denitrification. Competitive grants and targeted funding calls can stimulate innovation in specific areas, such as the development of energy efficient processes or the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions in treatment systems.
2. Research Collaborations: Encouraging collaboration between academia, industry, and government agencies can accelerate the translation of research findings into practical applications. Public private partnerships (PPPs) are particularly effective in pooling resources, sharing expertise, and mitigating the financial risks associated with the development of new technologies. By facilitating these collaborations, governments can ensure that innovative solutions are tested, validated, and scaled up more rapidly.

Table 1. This table provides a structured overview for analyzing the key aspects of microbial ecology in nitrification-denitrification processes within wastewater treatment, highlighting current innovations and proposing future research directions

Aspect	Description	Key Microbes Involved	Innovations	Future Directions
Nitrification Process	Biological conversion of ammonia (NH_3) to nitrite (NO_2^-) and nitrate (NO_3^-)	Nitrosomonas, Nitrobacter	Development of more efficient nitrifying bioreactors	Enhancing nitrification rates through genetic engineering and optimizing reactor designs
Denitrification Process	Reduction of nitrate (NO_3^-) to nitrogen gas (N_2), removing nitrogen from wastewater	*Pseudomonas*, *Paracoccus*, *Bacillus*	Use of biofilms and novel microbial consortia for improved denitrification	Integration of anaerobic ammonium oxidation (Anammox) with conventional denitrification for energy-efficient processes
Microbial Community Structure	Diversity and interactions of microbial populations involved in nitrification and denitrification	Various nitrifiers and denitrifiers	Application of metagenomics and meta transcriptomics to understand community dynamics	Engineering microbial communities for enhanced performance and resilience
Environmental Factors	Impact of pH, temperature, oxygen levels, and substrate concentration on microbial activity	Microbial consortia adapted to specific conditions	Development of adaptive control systems for real-time monitoring and adjustment of environmental conditions	Designing microbial consortia with enhanced adaptability to fluctuating environmental conditions
Biofilm Formation	Role of biofilms in enhancing microbial stability and efficiency in nitrification-denitrification processes	Nitrosomonas, Nitrobacter, *Pseudomonas*	Use of biofilm reactors and carriers to support microbial growth and activity	Advanced biofilm engineering to optimize nutrient removal rates and process stability
Emerging Technologies	New methods and technologies in microbial ecology for wastewater treatment	Innovative microbial strains and synthetic consortia	Use of CRISPR and synthetic biology for developing tailored microbial strains	Application of artificial intelligence and machine learning in process optimization and microbial community management
Challenges in Implementation	Obstacles to the practical application of microbial innovations in wastewater treatment	Resistance of traditional systems to change	Addressing operational stability and scalability issues	Bridging the gap between lab-scale research and full-scale wastewater treatment systems
Sustainability and Environmental Impact	Contribution of microbial nitrification-denitrification to environmental sustainability	Environmentally sustainable microbial solutions	Reduction of greenhouse gas emissions through improved microbial processes	Developing low-energy, low-emission microbial treatments to meet global sustainability goals
Future Research Directions	Key areas for further research and innovation in microbial nitrification-denitrification	New microbial isolates and pathways	Exploration of uncultured microbial communities and novel metabolic pathways	Integration of interdisciplinary approaches combining microbiology, chemistry, and engineering for holistic treatment solutions

3. Pilot Projects and Demonstrations: The implementation of pilot projects and demonstration plants is a critical step in bridging the gap between laboratory research and full scale application. Regulatory frameworks should support the establishment of pilot facilities where new nitrification denitrification technologies can be tested under real world conditions. These projects provide valuable data on the performance, reliability, and scalability of innovative technologies, helping to build confidence among stakeholders and paving the way for broader adoption.
4. Incentives for Innovation: Beyond direct funding, governments can incentivize innovation through tax credits, accelerated depreciation on capital investments in new technologies, and subsidies for early adopters. These financial incentives can reduce the initial costs and risks associated with the adoption of advanced technologies, making them more attractive to wastewater treatment operators.
5. Regulatory Flexibility: Innovation often requires flexibility in regulatory compliance. Pilot projects and new technologies may not fit neatly into existing regulatory frameworks, which can stifle innovation. To address this, regulators can adopt a more flexible, adaptive approach that allows for regulatory exemptions or conditional approvals for experimental technologies. This approach encourages innovation while ensuring that environmental and public health protections are not compromised (Nargawe et al., 2023).

15. SETTING STANDARDS AND INCENTIVES

Regulatory standards for nitrogen discharge are central to ensuring the environmental efficacy of wastewater treatment processes. However, these standards must be carefully calibrated to balance environmental protection with technological feasibility and economic viability.

1. Stringent but Achievable Standards: Setting stringent nitrogen discharge limits is essential for protecting water quality and preventing eutrophication in receiving waters. However, these standards must be achievable with the technologies currently available or under development. Regulators should engage with industry stakeholders and technology developers to ensure that discharge limits are challenging yet realistic, encouraging continuous improvement without imposing undue burdens on treatment facilities (Wagner and Loy, 2002).
2. Dynamic Standards: Environmental conditions and technological capabilities are constantly evolving. Regulatory frameworks should be designed to accommodate these changes by adopting a dynamic approach to standard setting. This could involve periodic reviews and updates of nitrogen discharge limits based on the latest scientific knowledge, technological advancements, and environmental monitoring data.
3. Incentives for Compliance and Beyond: In addition to setting discharge limits, regulators can promote the adoption of advanced nitrification denitrification technologies through positive incentives. These could include:

Tax Credits: Offering tax credits for investments in technologies that exceed baseline regulatory requirements.

Subsidies for Green Technologies: Providing financial support for facilities that adopt low emission or energy efficient treatment technologies.

Carbon Credits: Encouraging the use of processes that reduce greenhouse gas emissions, such as anammox or biofilm reactors, by awarding carbon credits that can be traded or sold on carbon markets.

Performance Based Rewards: Implementing performance based incentives that reward facilities for achieving nitrogen removal efficiencies beyond regulatory requirements, thereby driving continuous innovation and improvement.

4. Supporting Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs): Smaller treatment facilities, often operated by local municipalities or private entities, may face greater challenges in adopting advanced technologies due to limited resources. Regulatory frameworks

should include provisions to support SMEs, such as grants, low interest loans, or technical assistance programs that help them upgrade their systems to meet new standards.

16. FACILITATING KNOWLEDGE TRANSFER

The successful implementation of advanced nitrification denitrification technologies is not solely dependent on technological innovation; it also requires a well informed and skilled workforce, as well as effective dissemination of knowledge across the industry. Knowledge transfer and capacity building are therefore critical components of a supportive regulatory framework (Rout et al., 2023).

1. Training and Education Programs: Ensuring that plant operators, engineers, and regulators are equipped with the necessary knowledge and skills to manage advanced treatment technologies is essential. Governments and industry associations can develop and promote training programs that cover the latest advancements in nitrification denitrification processes, process control, and environmental management. These programs should be accessible to professionals at all levels, from entry level operators to experienced engineers.
2. Certification and Accreditation: Establishing certification and accreditation programs for wastewater treatment professionals can help standardize training and ensure a high level of competency across the industry. Certification programs can also incentivize continuous learning and professional development, as certified professionals may be more competitive in the job market.
3. Knowledge Sharing Platforms: Creating platforms for knowledge sharing, such as industry conferences, workshops, online forums, and open access publications, can facilitate the exchange of best practices, case studies, and research findings. These platforms enable stakeholders to stay informed about the latest developments in nitrification denitrification technologies and to learn from the experiences of others.
4. Collaborative Research Networks: Governments and research institutions can establish collaborative research networks that bring together experts from different disciplines to tackle complex challenges in wastewater treatment. These networks can focus on specific areas, such as microbial ecology, process optimization, or resource recovery, and work to develop integrated solutions that address multiple aspects of wastewater treatment.
5. Public Engagement and Awareness: Public awareness of the importance of wastewater treatment and the role of advanced technologies in protecting water resources is essential for gaining public support for regulatory initiatives. Governments can engage with the public through educational campaigns, community outreach programs, and transparent communication about the benefits and challenges of new technologies. Public support can also drive political will and funding for innovative projects.
6. International Cooperation: Wastewater treatment challenges are not confined to national borders, and international cooperation is crucial for addressing global environmental issues. Regulatory frameworks should encourage collaboration between countries, particularly in sharing knowledge and technologies that can be adapted to different contexts. International organizations, such as the United Nations and the World Bank, can play a key role in facilitating these exchanges and supporting capacity building efforts in developing countries.

17. CONCLUSION

The microbial ecology of nitrification denitrification is at the heart of biological nitrogen removal in wastewater treatment. While traditional methods have served well in reducing nitrogen pollution, emerging technologies such as advanced biofilm reactors and anammox processes offer new opportunities to enhance efficiency, reduce environmental impact, and improve economic viability, the successful implementation of these innovations requires a holistic approach that considers microbial dynamics, environmental and economic factors, and supportive policy frameworks. Future research should continue to explore the complex interactions within microbial communities, develop more resilient and adaptable treatment systems, and assess the longterm sustainability of these technologies. By integrating cutting edge microbial ecology insights with innovative engineering solutions and sound policy support, the future of wastewater treatment holds the promise of more efficient, sustainable, and environmentally friendly nitrogen removal processes. This will contribute not only to better water quality but also to broader environmental and public health benefits, making a significant impact on global efforts to protect and preserve water resources.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

Ahn, Y. H. (2006). Sustainable nitrogen elimination biotechnologies: A review. *Process Biochemistry, 41*(8), 1709-1721.

Blackburne, R., Yuan, Z., & Keller, J. (2008). Partial nitrification to nitrite using low dissolved oxygen concentration as the main selection factor. *Biodegradation, 19*(2), 303-312.

Broda, E. (1977). Two kinds of lithotrophs missing in nature. *Zeitschrift für allgemeine Mikrobiologie, 17*(6), 491-493.

Rasool, A., Krismastuti, F. S. H., Zulfajri, M., Meliana, Y., & Sudewi, S. (2024). A smart way to increase the growth and productivity of crops through nano-fertilizer. In *Molecular Impacts of Nanoparticles on Plants and Algae* (pp. 333-346). Academic Press.

Chen, H., & Zhang, L. (2013). Enzymatic mechanisms for aerobic denitrification of nitrite to nitrogen gas. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 79*(12), 3936-3944.

Rasool, A., Mir, M. I., Zulfajri, M., Hanafiah, M. M., Unnisa, S. A., & Mahboob, M. (2021). Plant growth promoting and antifungal asset of indigenous rhizobacteria secluded from saffron (*Crocus sativus* L.) rhizosphere. *Microbial Pathogenesis, 150*, 104734.

Francis, C. A., Beman, J. M., & Kuypers, M. M. M. (2007). New processes and players in the nitrogen cycle: The microbial ecology of anaerobic and archaeal ammonia oxidation. *The ISME Journal, 1*(1), 19-27.

Fux, C., & Siegrist, H. (2004). Nitrogen removal from sludge digester liquids by nitrification/denitrification or partial nitritation/anammox: Environmental and economical considerations. *Water Science and Technology, 50*(10), 19-26.

Rasool, A., Sri, S., Zulfajri, M., & Krismastuti, F. S. H. (2024). Nature inspired nanomaterials, advancements in green synthesis for biological sustainability. *Inorganic Chemistry Communications, 112954*.

Reddy, C. A., Oraon, S., Bharti, S. D., Yadav, A. K., & Hazarika, S. (2024). Advancing disease management in agriculture: A review of plant pathology techniques. *Plant Science Archives*.

Arubalueze, C. U., & Ilodibia, C. V. (2024). Impact of crossbreeding on the growth and yield improvement of two cultivars of *S. aethiopicum* L. found in Anambra State. *Acta Botanica Plantae*.

Goreau, T. J., Kaplan, W. A., & Wofsy, S. C. (1980). Production of NO2 and N2O by nitrifying bacteria at reduced concentrations of oxygen. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 40*(3), 526-532.

Bari, F., Chaudhury, N., & Senapti, S. K. (2004). Susceptibility of different genomic banana cultivars to banana leaf and fruit scar beetle, *Nodostoma subcostatum* (Jacoby). *Acta Botanica Plantae*.

Guo, J., Peng, Y., Wang, S., & Zheng, Y. (2009). Long-term effect of dissolved oxygen on partial nitrification performance and microbial community structure. *Bioresource Technology, 100*(11), 2796-2802.

He, Z., & Zhang, P. (2015). The ecology of nitrification and denitrification in constructed wetlands: Spatial structure and process dynamics. *Ecological Engineering, 82*, 2-15.

Afroz, N. (2022). Exploring traditional medicine in South Africa: A review of ethnobotanical studies on medicinal plants. *Plant Science Archives,14-18*. https://doi.org/10.51470/PSA.2022.7.3.14

Jetten, M. S. M., Horn, S. J., & van Loosdrecht, M. C. M. (1997). Towards a more sustainable municipal wastewater treatment system. *Water Science and Technology, 35*(10), 171-180.

Kim, D. J., & Lee, D. I. (2003). Nitrification and denitrification in biofilms attached on media. *Water Science and Technology, 47*(1), 47-54.

Pattoo, T. A. (2023). Flora to Nano: Sustainable synthesis of nanoparticles via plant-mediated green chemistry. *Plant Science Archives,12-17*. https://doi.org/10.51470/PSA.2023.8.1.12

Kuypers, M. M. M., Lavik, G., & Thamdrup, B. (2005). Anaerobic ammonium oxidation in the marine environment. *Nature, 437*(7057), 543-546.

Lackner, S., Gilbert, E. M., & Vlaeminck, S. E. (2014). Full-scale partial nitritation/anammox experiences – An application survey. *Water Research, 55*, 292-303.

Li, X., & Elliott, D. W. (2013). Applications of nanoscale zero-valent iron (nZVI) for in situ remediation of chlorinated solvents: A review. *Journal of Hazardous Materials, 161*(2-3), 122-136.

Liu, S., Zhang, M., & Liu, Z. (2014). The nitrogen cycle in wastewater treatment systems: A review. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, 98*(13), 5481-5491.

Lu, H., & Chandran, K. (2010). Factors leading to temporal and spatial instability of anammox processes in wastewater treatment systems. *Water Research, 44*(20), 6173-6184.

Ma, Y., Sundar, S., Park, H., & Chandran, K. (2015). The effect of inorganic carbon on microbial interactions in a biofilm nitritation-anammox process. *Water Research, 70*, 246-254.

Mulder, A., Graaf, A. A., & Robertson, L. A. (1995). Anaerobic ammonium oxidation discovered in a denitrifying fluidized bed reactor. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology, 16*(3), 177-184.

Pérez, J., & Lema, J. M. (2010). A predictive model for methane production in anaerobic sequencing batch reactors. *Bioresource Technology, 101*(13), 4838-4844.

Sharma, A., Dongre, P., Harsha, A., Ausari, P. K., & Devendra, K. (2010). Horticulture horizons: An exploring advanced technologies in plant cultivation. *Plant Science Archives*.

Philippot, L., & Hallin, S. (2005). Finding the missing link between diversity and activity in microbial communities. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 102*(19), 6546-6551.

Nampelli, P., & Gangadhar Rao, S. P. (2023). Exploring morpho-anatomical attributes, phytochemical, and HPTLC profile of *Enicostema axillare* (Poir. ex Lam.) A. Raynal. *Plant Science Archives, 1-13*. https://doi.org/10.51470/PSA.2023.8.4.01

Rittmann, B. E., & McCarty, P. L. (2001). *Environmental Biotechnology: Principles and Applications*. McGraw-Hill.

Ganaie, R. A., Ansari, S., & Ganie, S. A. (2023). Solid waste issues: Generation composition and disposal of municipal solid waste in District REWA (Madhya Pradesh). *Plant Science Archives, 14-19*. https://doi.org/10.51470/PSA.2023.8.4.14

Schmidt, I., & van Spanning, R. J. M. (2002). Determination of the role of nitrite-oxidizing bacteria in wastewater treatment systems. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology, 39*(2), 101-108.

Strous, M., Kuenen, J. G., & Jetten, M. S. M. (1999). Key physiology of anaerobic ammonium oxidation. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 65*(7), 3248-3250.

Chugh, P., Kumari, R. B. M., Nafees, H., & Sharma, K. (2023). Harnessing herbal remedies: *Salvia officinalis* and *Hypericum perforatum* mixture for enhancing memory and cognitive function. *Plant Science Archives,20-22*. https://doi.org/10.51470/PSA.2023.8.4.20

Thauer, R. K. (1998). Biochemistry of methanogenesis: A tribute to Marjory Stephenson. *Microbiology, 144*(9), 2377-2406.

Nargawe, L., Vidhya, M., & Upadhyay, L. (2023). Ethnobotanical survey of medicinal plants used in traditional medicine in Africa. *Plant Science Archives, 26-28*. https://doi.org/10.51470/PSA.2023.8.4.26

Wagner, M., & Loy, A. (2002). Bacterial community composition and function in sewage treatment plants as revealed by 16S rRNA gene clone libraries. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 68*(10), 5060-5069.

Rout, S., Kumar, R. V., & Sachan, K. (2023). Flora diversity and conservation status of medicinal plants: Insights from a semi-arid to sub-humid subtropical region. *Plant Science Archives*. https://doi.org/10.51470/PSA.2023.8.4.23

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://prh.mbimph.com/review-history/4006