

Uttar Pradesh Journal of Zoology

Volume 46, Issue 5, Page 164-175, 2025; Article no.UPJOZ.4637 ISSN: 0256-971X (P)

Study of Eriophyoid Mites (Acari: Eriophyoidea) of Different Districts from Less Explored Places of South Bengal, India

Dipak Kumar Som ^a, Shubhajit Maji ^a, Sayan Bhadra ^a, Ghajala Parveen ^a, Safiyana Khatun ^a, Swarnali Patra ^a and Surajit Sur ^{b*}

 ^a Department of Zoology (Post Graduate Section, Entomology Laboratory), Maulana Azad College, 8, Rafi Ahmed Kidwai Road, Kolkata-700013, India.
 ^b Department of Zoology, Muralidhar Girls' College, P-411/14, Gariahat Road, Kolkata-700029, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.56557/upjoz/2025/v46i54833

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://prh.mbimph.com/review-history/4637

> Received: 23/12/2024 Accepted: 25/02/2025 Published: 01/03/2025

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

The present study was conducted across various lesser known places of Southern part West Bengal from January 2024 to July 2024. This area appears to have been previously unexplored in terms of eriophyoid mite diversity. A total of 60 Eriophyoid mite species, belonging to 29 genera and

*Corresponding author: Email: Surajitsur.1990@gmail.com;

Cite as: Som, Dipak Kumar, Shubhajit Maji, Sayan Bhadra, Ghajala Parveen, Safiyana Khatun, Swarnali Patra, and Surajit Sur. 2025. "Study of Eriophyoid Mites (Acari: Eriophyoidea) of Different Districts from Less Explored Places of South Bengal, India". UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY 46 (5):164-75. https://doi.org/10.56557/upjoz/2025/v46i54833.

2 families were recorded, with families: Eriophyidae accounting for 85% and Diptilomiopidae for 15%. Simpson's index and the Shannon-Wiener diversity index were calculated to assess the mite community in different study areas. These mites were found on a wide variety of host plants, such as *Anthocephalus kadamba*, *Litchi litchi, Mangifera indica*, and *Ficus* spp., reflecting complex ecological relationships. These mites were exclusively vagrant in nature, and their presence often led to plant damage, including galls, leaf curling, and other deformities, highlighting their significant impact on plant health.

Keywords: Acari; eriophyidae; diptilomiopidae; gall mites; biodiversity indices.

1. INTRODUCTION

Eriophyoid mites are minute, plant-feeding arthropods belonging to the order Acariformes and suborder Eriophvoidea. They exhibit a wormlike or fusiform body shape, possess only two pairs of legs, and have distinct genital structures (Amrine et al., 2003). These mites are phytophagous, feeding on plant tissues and causing various damages such as gall formation, leaf deformities, and stunted growth (Sur & Chakrabarti, 2017; Debnath & Karmakar, 2016). Some species act as significant plant pests and are classified into three families: Eriophvidae. Diptilomiopidae, and Phytoptidae (Amrine & Stasny, 1994). Their microscopic size makes detection challenging without specialized magnification (Sur et al., 2018).

Understanding the diversity and distribution of such group of mites is essential for several reasons. Firstly, documenting the species in these regions offers valuable insights into local biodiversity as well as ecosystem health. Secondly, identifying eriophyoid mites that impact crops enables farmers to implement targeted pest management strategies, reducing damage and enhancing crop yields. Lastly, studying these mites contributes to the broader scientific knowledge of plant-mite interactions, coevolution and the ecological roles mites play in various environments (de Lillo et al., 2018; Amrine & Manson, 1996).

Eriophyoid mites, despite their minute size, can have a profound impact on plant health, both through direct damage and by acting as vectors for plant diseases (Solo et al., 2020). Understanding their role in plant disease is crucial for developing effective management strategies in agriculture and horticulture (Oldfield & Proeseler, 1996).

They can cause significant plant damage, including galls, leaf curling, stunted growth, and other deformities (Agarwal & Kandaswamy, 1959). This damage weakens plants, reduces photosynthesis and decreases agricultural productivity. Additionally, some Eriophyoid mites serve as vectors for plant viruses, amplifying their impact on crops (Otero- Colina et al., 2018). Their minute size and concealed nature make them difficult to detect, necessitating the use of specialized tools for proper identification. Understanding their impact on plant health is vital for developing effective pest management strategies to reduce agricultural losses and promote sustainable farming practices.

Despite their importance, detailed studies on the diversity and ecological impact of eriophyoid mites in southern West Bengal remain scarce. This research aims to fill this gap by conducting a comprehensive survey of Eriophyoid mites across various districts in the region. The study evaluates species diversity, distribution and their interactions with local plant species. Understanding the species composition and distribution of these mites, they will contribute to develop effective pest management strategies, benefiting local agriculture. Additionally, the findings will advance the field of Acarology and deepen our understanding of plant-mite interactions in diverse ecosystems, supporting biodiversity sustainable agriculture and conservation in West Bengal.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Area: The study of Eriophyoid mites was conducted across diverse locations in the southern part of West Bengal, India. Sampling sites included the Arambag and Sheoraphuli areas in Hooghly district, representing regions with mixed vegetation and significant agricultural activities. Additionally, mite specimens were also collected from East Medinipur, Howrah and Kolkata districts. The locations encompass a range of habitats, from urban environments in Kolkata to semi-urban and rural landscapes in Howrah and East Medinipur. The selected sites provided an ecologically varied framework for the study, including areas with agricultural fields and natural vegetation. Such diversity in sampling ensured comprehensive locations а understanding of the distribution and diversity of the eriophyoid mites. By incorporating regions with different vegetation types and land-use patterns, the study aimed to highlight the ecological factors influencing mite populations in these areas. Rice (Oryza sativa), Wheat (Triticum aestivum), Pulses and Legumes, Jute, sugarcane, and oilseeds like mustard are important crops in these regions, contributing to the local economy. Apart from agricultural lands, the natural vegetation includes scattered patches of natural deciduous forests can be found, consisting of species such as Sal (Shorea robusta), Teak (Tectona grandis) and various types of Acacia. These trees shed their leaves during the dry season to conserve water. Bamboo species, including Bambusa vulgaris, are common in certain areas, particularly in regions with slightly higher moisture content in the soil. The region is also known for its orchards, particularly Mango (Mangifera indica), Banana (Musa spp.), and Guava (Psidium guajava) trees, which contribute significantly to the local economy and diet.



Fig. 1. Study Areas in Southern West Bengal. The highlighted locations indicate the primary survey sites, including Arambag and Sheoraphuli in Hooghly, along with areas in Howrah, Kolkata, and East Medinipur (dmaps.com) (Outline map of West Bengal, 2025)

Methods: The plants were examined for signs of disease, gall formation or other related damages. Infected leaves were primarily collected, along with healthy leaves, from the study areas between January and June. Geo-tagged photos were taken and location details were labelled on polythene bags. The collected leaves were stored in polythene bags and refrigerated for further analysis. The lower surfaces of the leaves were inspected under a stereo binocular microscope to locate mites. Using a sharp needle, mites were transferred onto groove slides containing lactic acid and covered with cover slips. Observations were made under a SONY ZEISS STEMI DV4.

Stereo Binocular Microscope to identify the specimens (Monfreda et al., 2009). Identification relied on relevant literature, including works by (Amrine et al., 1996, 2003, 2006). As after the study no new species were found, no permanent slides were prepared, and the temporary slides were discarded after identification.

Analysis of Biodiversity indices (Bhardwaj et al., 2023):

- The Simpson dominance index-(D)= 1-[Σni(ni-1) / N(N-1)] (i) Where, Σ = sum of (Total) ni = the number of individuals of each different species N = the total number of individuals of all the species
 The Shappon index of diversity
- The Shannon index of diversity - $(H')= -[\Sigma(ni/N) \times ln(ni/N)]$ (ii) Where, $\Sigma = sum$ of (Total) ni = the number of individuals of each different species N = the total number of individuals of all the species

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This study aims to assess the diversity of eriophyoid mites across various districts in southern West Bengal, India. The findings indicate a significant diversity of eriophyoid mite species in these regions. Their diversity is strongly influenced by the presence of host plants, which serve as their primary food source.

During the present study a total of 60 Eriophyoid mite species, belonging to 29 genera and 2 families, were identified across various survey areas. The species distribution varied by location, with Arambag (Hooghly)recording 27 species (15 genera under 2 families), Kolkata 24 species (13 genera under 2 families), East Medinipur 23 species (13 genera under 2 families), Howrah 22 species (14 genera under 2 families) and Sheoraphuli(Hooghly) 21 species (11 genera under 2 families).Among the two families of Eriophyoid mites, Eriophyidae (51 Species under 27 Genera) represents the dominant family followed by Diptilomiopidae (9 Species under 2 Genera). In addition, the genus *Aceria* had the highest number of species with 14, followed by *Diptilomiopus* with 8 species.Among the 29 Eriophyoid mite genera, only 2 belong to the family Diptilomiopidae, while the remaining 27 genera belong to the family Eriophyidae.The observed Eriophyoid mites, along with their host plants and locations, are detailed in Table 1, while Table 2 provides information about the families of Eriophyoid mites and their respective host plants.

Table 1. Eriophyoid Mites-Host Plant cataloguewith survey areas

SI. No.	Eriophyoid Mite	Host Plant/s	Survey Area/s
1.	<i>Abacus arjunali</i> s Mondal,	Tarminalia arjuna	Kolkata
	Ghosh & Chakrabarti		
2.	Acalitus ruelliae Hovore	Ruellia tuberosa	Arambag (Hooghly),
			East Medinipur
3.	Acaphylla syzygii	Syzygium samarangense	Sheoraphuli (Hooghly)
	Channabasavanna		
4.	Acaphyllisa pipera Ghosh &	Piper siriboa,	Howrah, Sheoraphuli
	Chakrabarti	Piper betle	(Hooghly)
5.	Aceria alangiae	Alangium salvifolium	Arambag (Hooghly)
	Mohanasundaram		
6.	<i>Aceria anonae</i> (Keifer)	Annona squamosa,	Arambag, Sheoraphuli
		Annona reticulata	(Hooghly), Howrah
7.	Aceria banyani	Fatsia japonica,	Kolkata, Sheoraphuli
	Channabasavanna	Ficus benghalensis	(Hooghly)
8.	Aceria clerodendrum	Clerodendrum viscosum	East Medinipur,
	(Farkas)		Arambag (Hooghly)
9.	Aceria ficivagrans	Ficus benghalensis	Howrah
	Mohanasundaram		
10.	Aceria ficus (Cotee)	Ficus racemose,	Arambag (Hooghly),
		Ficus religiosa, Ficus	Kolkata
		hispida	
11.	Aceria granati (Canestrini &	Punica granatum	Howrah
	Massalongo)		
12.	Aceria	Holoptelea intedrifolia	Arambag (Hooghly)
	holopteleaeChannabasavanna		
13.	Aceria infectoriae	Ficus rumphii,	East Medinipur,
	Channabasavanna	Ficus racemosa	Kolkata
14.	Aceria justice Berlese	Justicia adhatoda	Arambag (Hooghly)
			Arambag (Hooghly),
15.	<i>Aceria litchi</i> (Keifer)	Litchi litchi	Sheoraphuli (Hooghly),
			East Medinipur,
			Kolkata, Howrah
16.	Aceria mangiferae Sayed	Mangifera indica	Arambag, Sheoraphuli
			(Hooghly), East
			Medinipur, Kolkata,
			Howrah
17.	Aceria nerii	Nerium indicum	Arambag (Hooghly),
	Channabasavanna		East Medinipur, Kolkata
18.	<i>Aceria pongamae</i> Keifer	Pongomia pinnata	Arambag, East
			Medinipur, Kolkata,
			Howrah
19.	Aculops spondiasis	Spondias mombin	Howrah
	Chakrabarti & Sarkar		
20.	Aculopus abutiloni Mondal	Abutilon indicum	Arambag

SI. No.	Eriophyoid Mite	Host Plant/s	Survey Area/s
	& Chakrabarti		-
21.	Aculus montanae Mohanasundaram	Diospyros blancoi	Howrah
22.	Anthocoptes tectonae Chakrabarti & Mondal	Tectona grandis	Kolkata
23.	Anthopoda fici Keifer	Ficus rumphii, Ficus	Arambag (Hooghly),
		religiosa	Howrah, Sheoraphuli (Hooghly)
24.	Calcareous quisqualis Chakrabarti & Mondal	Quisqualis indica	Kolkata
25.	Calepitrimerus azadirachtae Channabasavanna	Azadirachta indica	Kolkata, Arambag (Hooghly)
26.	Calepitrimerus hispidus Mondal & Chakrabarti	Ficus religiosa, Ficus racemosa, Ficus hispida, Syzygium sp.	Kolkata, East Medinipur
27.	Calepitrimerus tabernaemontanis Mondal & Chakrabarti	Tabernaemontana divaricata	Sheoraphuli
28.	Colopodacus bengalensis Mohanasundaram	Ficus benghalensis	Kolkata
29.	Dellilophyes guajavae Sur	Psidium guajava	Arambag, Howrah, Kolkata
30.	<i>Diptilomiopus ambromae</i> Sur, Roy & Chakrabarti	Ambroma augustum	Kolkata, Arambag
31.	<i>Diptilomiopus anthocephali</i> Chakrabarti, Sarkar &Pandit	Anthocephalus kadamba	Arambag, Sheoraphuli, East Medinipur, Kolkata, Howrah
32.	<i>Diptilomiopus artocarpae</i> Chakrabarti & Mondal	Artocarpus heterophyllus	Kolkata
33.	<i>Diptilomiopus assamica</i> Keifer	Citrus maxima	Howrah
34.	<i>Diptilomiopus augustifoliae</i> Sur, Roy & Chakrabarti	Ambroma augustum	East Medinipur
35.	<i>Diptilomiopus camerae</i> Mohanasundaram	Lantana camara	Arambag, East Medinipur
36.	<i>Diptilomiopus ficus</i> Chakrabarti & Mondal	Ficus religiosa, Ficus carica	Kolkata, Sheoraphuli
37.	<i>Diptilomiopus guajavae</i> Mohanasundaram	Psidium guajava	Sheoraphuli, East Medinipur
38.	<i>Disella cumini</i> Chakrabarti, Das & Pandit	Syzygium cumini, Syzygium samarangense	Arambag, Howrah, Kolkata, East Medinipur
39.	<i>Disella tectona</i> Chandrapatya & Boczek	Tectona grandis	Arambag
40.	<i>Eriophyes lantanae</i> Mohanasundaram	<i>Lantana</i> sp.	East Medinipur
41.	Eriophyes sp.	Prunus sp.	Kolkata
42.	Eriophyes terminaliae Channabasavanna	Terminalia catappa	East Medinipur
43.	Neocecidophyes mallotivagrans Mohanasundaram	Mallotus nudiflorus	East Medinipur, Kolkata, Arambag (Hooghly)
44.	Neometaculus bauhiniae Mohanasundaram	Buhinia veriegata	Sheoraphuli (Hooghly)
	Neooxycenus dilleniae Sur,		Arambag (Hooghly),

SI. No.	Eriophyoid Mite	Host Plant/s	Survey Area/s
45.	Roy & Chakrabarti	Dillenia indica	Howrah, East Medinipur, Sheoraphuli (Hooghly)
46.	<i>Neotegonotus indicus</i> Mondal & Chakrabarti	Ficus benghalensis	Kolkata
47.	Paraphytophus jujube Mohanasundaram	Ziziphus mauritiana	Howrah, Sheoraphuli
48.	Paraphytoptus champacae Mohanasundaram	Michelia champaca	Sheoraphuli
49.	Paraphytoptus jujube Mohanasundaram	Zizipus jujuba	East Medinipur
50.	<i>Paraphytoptus serenus</i> Duarte, Chetverikov, Silva & Navia	Zizipus jujuba	Arambag (Hooghly)
51.	Paratetra murrayae Keifer	Murraya koenigii	Arambag, East Medinipur
52.	Phyllocoptruta citricola Chakrabarti & Sarkar	Citrus maxima, Citrus limon	Arambag, Sheoraphuli, East Medinipur, Kolkata
53.	<i>Phyllocoptruta neemae</i> Debnath &Karmakar	Azadirachta indica	Howrah, Sheoraphuli, East Medinipur, Kolkata
54.	<i>Rhynaphytophus ficifoliae</i> Keifer	Ficus carica	Howrah
55.	Tegolophus bambusaeChannabasavanna	Bambusa vulgaris	Howrah, Sheoraphuli
56.	<i>Tegolophus indica</i> Chakrabarti & Mondal	Artocarpus heterophyllus, Artocarpus lakoocha	Arambag, Howrah, Sheoraphuli, East Medinipur
57.	<i>Tegolophus nerii</i> Mondal & Chakrabarti	Nerium oleander	Sheoraphuli
58.	<i>Tegolophus spondiasis</i> Mondal & Chakrabarti	Spondias mombin	Sheoraphuli
59.	Tetra asperae Boczek	Streblus asper	Arambag, East Medinipur
60.	Vasates pavetis Ghosh	Pavetta indica	Howrah

Table 2. Host-Plant – Eriophyoid catalogue

	Eriophyoid Mite	Family of Eriophyoid Mite	Host Plant/s	Family of Host Plants
1.	Abacus arjunalis	Eriophyidae	Tarminalia arjuna	Combretaceae
2.	Acalitus ruelliae	Eriophyidae	Ruellia tuberosa	Acanthaceae
3.	Acaphylla syzygii	Eriophyidae	Syzygium samarangense	Myrtaceae
4.	Acaphyllisa pipera	Eriophyidae	Piper siriboa, Piper betle	Piperaceae
5.	Aceria alangiae	Eriophyidae	Alangium salvifolium	Cornaceae
6.	Aceria anonae	Eriophyidae	Annona squamosa, Annona reticulata	Annonaceae
7.	Aceria banyani	Eriophyidae	Fatsia japonica, Ficus benghalensis	Araliaceae
8.	Aceria clerodendrum	Eriophyidae	Clerodendrum viscosum	Lamiaceae
9.	Aceria ficroprans	Eriophyidae	Ficus benghalensis	Moraceae
10.	Aceria ficus	Eriophyidae	Ficus racemosa, Ficus religiosa, Ficus hispida	Moraceae

	Eriophyoid Mite	Family of Eriophyoid Mite	Host Plant/s	Family of Host Plants
11.	Aceria granati	Eriophyidae	Punica granatum	Puniaceae
12.	Aceria holopteleae	Eriophyidae	Holoptelea intedrifolia	Ulmaceae
13.	Aceria infectoriae	Eriophyidae	Ficus rumphii, Ficus racemosa	Moraceae
14.	Aceria justicae	Eriophyidae	Justicia adhatoda	Acanthaceae
15.	Aceria litchi	Eriophyidae	Litchi litchi	Sapindaceae
16.	Aceria mangiferae	Eriophyidae	Mangifera indica	Anacardiaceae
17.	Aceria nerii	Eriophyidae	Nerium indicum	Apocynaceae
18.	Aceria pongamae	Eriophyidae	Pongomia pinnata	Fabaceae
19.	Aculops spondiasis	Eriophyidae	Spondias mombin	Anacardiaceae
20.	Aculopus abutiloni	Eriophyidae	Abutilon indicum	Malvaceae
21.	Aculus montanae	Eriophyidae	Diospyros blancoi	Ebenaceae
22.	Anthocoptes tectonae	Eriophyidae	Tectona grandis	Lamiaceae
23.	Anthopoda fici	Diptilomiopidae	Ficus Rumphii, Ficus religiosa	Moraceae
24.	Calcarus quisqualis	Eriophyidae	Quisqualis indica	Combretaceae
25.	Calepitrimerus azadirachtae	Eriophyidae	Azadirachta indica	Meliaceae
26.	Calepitrimerus hispidus	Eriophyidae	Ficus religiosa, Ficus racemosa, Ficus hispida, Svzvaium sp.	Moraceae
27.	Calepitrimerus tabernaemontanis	Eriophyidae	Tabernaemontana divaricata	Apocynaceae
28.	Colopodacus bengalensis	Eriophyidae	Ficus benghalensis	Moraceae
29.	Dellilophyes quaiavae	Eriophyidae	Psidium guajava	Myrtaceae
30.	Diptilomiopus ambromae	Diptilomiopidae	Ambroma augustum	Malvaceae
31.	Diptilomiopus anthocephali	Diptilomiopidae	Anthocephalus kadamba	Rubiaceae
32.	Diptilomiopus artocarpae	Diptilomiopidae	Artocarpus heterophyllus	Moraceae
33.	Diptilomiopus assamica	Diptilomiopidae	Citrus maxima	Rutaceae
34.	Diptilomiopus augustifoliae	Diptilomiopidae	Ambroma augustum	Malvaceae
35.	Diptilomiopus camerae	Diptilomiopidae	Lantana camara	Verbenaceae
36.	Diptilomiopus ficus	Diptilomiopidae	Ficus religiosa, Ficus carica	Moraceae
37.	Diptilomiopus guajavae	Diptilomiopidae	Psidium guajava	Myrtaceae
38.	Disella cumini	Eriophyidae	Syzygium cumini, Syzygium samarangense	Myrtaceae
39.	Disella tectona	Eriophyidae	Tectona grandis	Lamiaceae
40.	Eriophyes lantanae	Eriophyidae	Lantana sp.	Verbenaceae
41.	Eriophyes sp.	Eriophyidae	Prunus sp.	Rosaceae
42.	Eriophyes	Eriophyidae	Terminalia catappa	Combretaceae

	Eriophyoid Mite	Family of Eriophyoid Mite	Host Plant/s	Family of Host Plants
43.	Neocecidophyes mallotivagrans	Eriophyidae	Mallotus nudiflorus	Euphorbiaceae
44.	Neometaculus bauhiniae	Eriophyidae	Bauhinia veriegata	Fabaceae
45.	Neooxycenus dilleniae	Eriophyidae	Dillenia indica	Dilleniaceae
46.	Neotegonotus indicus	Eriophyidae	Ficus benghalensis	Moraceae
47.	Paraphytophus jujube	Eriophyidae	Ziziphus mauritiana	Rhamnaceae
48.	Paraphytoptus champacae	Eriophyidae	Michelia champaca	Magnoliaceae
49.	Paraphytoptus jujube	Eriophyidae	Zizipus jujuba	Rhamnaceae
50.	Paraphytoptus serenus	Eriophyidae	Zizipus jujuba	Rhamnaceae
51.	Paratetra murrayae	Eriophyidae	Murraya koenigii	Rutaceae
52.	Phyllocoptruta citricola	Eriophyidae	Citrus maxima, Citrus limon	Rutaceae
53.	Phyllocoptruta neemae	Eriophyidae	Azadirachta indica	Meliaceae
54.	Rhynaphytophus ficifoliae	Eriophyidae	Ficus carica	Moraceae
55.	Tegolophus bambusae	Eriophyidae	Bambusa vulgaris	Poaceae
56.	Tegolophus indica	Eriophyidae	Artocarpus heterophyllus, Artocarpus lakoocha	Moraceae
57.	Tegolophus nerii	Eriophyidae	Nerium oleander	Apocynaceae
58.	Tegolophus spondiasis	Eriophyidae	Spondias mombin	Anacardiaceae
59.	Tetra asperae	Eriophyidae	Streblus asper	Moraceae
60.	Vasates pavetis	Eriophyidae	Pavetta indica	Rubiaceae

Fig. 2 represents the family wise graphical representation of the Eriophyoid mite species. During the study, 51 species were recorded from the family Eriophyidae and 9 species from Diptilomiopidae, highlighting the dominance of family Eriophyidae. This family's higher species richness may be due to its broader host range and adaptability to diverse environments. In contrast, the fewer species in Diptilomiopidae suggest a more restricted distribution and host specificity.

Fig. 3 illustrates the Simpson's Dominance Index of Eriophyoid mites across different regions, with values close to 1, indicating an even distribution of species and minimal dominance by a few. The highest index was recorded in Arambag (0.963), followed by Kolkata (0.958), Howrah (0.955), East Medinipur (0.952), and Sheoraphuli (0.950). These findings suggest a well-balanced mite community with multiple species coexisting in each area.

Fig. 4 depicts the Shannon Diversity Index of Eriophyoid mites, highlighting high species diversity across regions. Arambag exhibited the highest diversity (3.272), followed by Kolkata (3.141), East Medinipur (3.092), Howrah (3.071), and Sheoraphuli (2.995). These values reflect a rich and varied mite community, with Arambag being the most diverse. The high Shannon Index values underline the role of favorable conditions and host plant variety in supporting a balanced and diverse mite population, emphasizing the importance of habitat diversity for ecological stability.



Fig. 2. Species Composition of the observed families of Eriophyoid Mites from the Study areas



Fig. 3. Simpson Dominance index of the Eriophyoidmites from different study areas



Fig. 4. Shannon index of the Eriophyoidmites from different study areas

Fig. 5 shows the number of Eriophyoid mite species associated with different host plant families. The study found Eriophyoid mites living

on plants from 27 different families, showing their ability to adapt to a wide range of hosts. Among these, the family Moraceae recorded the highest



Fig. 5. Number of Eriophyoidmites found from different families of host plants

number of mite species, with 12 species observed, indicating its significant role in supporting Eriophyoid diversity. Other families with notable contributions include Myrtaceae (4 species). Lamiaceae, Malvaceae. Combretaceae. Apocvnaceae. and Rhamnaceae, Anacardiaceae, Rutaceae, each hosting 3 species. Several families, such as Acanthaceae, Fabaceae, Meliaceae, Rubiaceae, and Verbenaceae, supported 2 species each. The remaining families, including Annonaceae, Cornaceae, Dilleniaceae, Araliaceae, Euphorbiaceae, Ebenaceae, Magnoliaceae, Piperaceae, Poaceae, Puniaceae, Rosaceae, Sapindaceae, and Ulmaceae contributed 1 species each. This diverse association highlights the ecological importance of host plants in determining Eriophyoid mite distribution and abundance. Families with higher species counts may provide favourable conditions, such as specific morphological traits or nutritional benefits, crucial for supporting mite populations.

During the present study of Eriophyoid mites, all specimens were found exclusively on the lower surface of leaves. This microhabitat preference provides several ecological advantages, such as protection from environmental stress and predators, a stable and humid environment, and better access to plant nutrients through stomata. The underside of leaves offers tender tissue and stomatal openings, facilitating feeding and nutrient extraction. Additionally, this location provides a safer environment for egg laying and larval development, supporting the mites' reproductive strategies. However, this behaviour can negatively impact plant health, leading to chlorosis, necrosis, and deformation due to continuous feeding.

During the present study, a total of 60 distinct species were identified from 54 different plant species, underscoring the high level of biodiversity in these regions. Each

Eriophyoid mite species exhibited strong host specificity, with certain mites predominantly found on specific plants. This specificity suggests a close co-evolutionary relationship between Eriophyoid mites and their host plants, highlighting the importance of host plant identification in the accurate identification of mite species. (de Lillo et al., 2018) During the study, some mite species were found on multiple host plants, while certain host plant species supported more than one Eriophyoid mite species.For example, Aceria ficus (Cottee) was found on various host plants, including Ficus racemosa, religiosa, and Ficus Ficus hispida. Alternatively, Aceria banyani Channabasavanna and Colopodacus bengalensis Mohanasundaram were both observed on Ficus benghalensis. The details of the Eriophyoid mite species and their host plants are provided in Table 1.

In the current study on Eriophyoid mite diversity, several research papers were reviewed and analysed, including those by Chandrapatya et al,. (2016), Dyamanagouda (2020), and Bhardwaj et al. (2023a). These studies explored the Eriophyoid mite diversity in various habitats, such as Thailand, Tamil Nadu (India), and Himachal Pradesh (India), documenting 215, 281, and 11 species, respectively. However, these works did not include statistical analyses or diversity indices like the Simpson Dominance Index and Shannon- Wiener Index. In contrast, the present study incorporates these indices and statistical analyses to provide a more comprehensive understanding of Eriophyoid mite diversity and habitat variations.

4. CONCLUSION

This comprehensive survey of Eriophyoid mites in southern West Bengal revealed significant biodiversity and host specificity, with 60 species identified across 54 plant species. The study recorded 51 species from the family Eriophyidae (85%) and 9 species from Diptilomiopidae (15%). highlighting their dominance in the region. The highest species diversity was observed in Arambag (27 species), followed by Kolkata (24), East Medinipur (23), Howrah (22), and Sheoraphuli (21). Diversity indices further supported these findings, with high Shannon-Wiener values indicating a well-distributed mite community. The strong host specificity suggests co-evolutionary adaptations, particularly for economically significant crops like mango and litchi, which are susceptible to mite infestations. Additionally, the presence of spider mites on certain plants where eriophyoid mites were absent underscores the need for integrated pest monitoring. This study provides a foundation for future research to explore under-documented regions and develop sustainable pest management strategies both to protect agricultural productivity and biodiversity.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of this manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The last author (S.S.) would like to express sincere gratitude to the Principal, Muralidhar Girls' College Dr. Kinjalkini Biswas for her all kind of moral boosting support. In addition, other authors remain grateful to the Principal, Maulana Azad College for all kinds of help. The authors are also very much thankful to Dr. Dipak kumar Som, Head, Department of Zoology, for all his support.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Agarwal, R.A. & Kandaswamy, P.A. (1959). Nature of damage caused by Eriophyid mite in sugarcane. *Cur. Sci., 28*:297.
- Amrine J.W. Jr. Stasny TA & Flechtmann C.H.W. (2003). A revised key to the world genera of the eriophyoidea (Acari: Prostigmata). Indira Publishing Houses, West Bloomfield, Michigan, 244 pp.
- Amrine, J.W.Jr. (1996). Keys to World genera of Eriophyoidea (Acari:Prostigmata). Indira Publishing Houses, West Bloomfield Michigan, 186pp.
- Amrine, J.W.Jr. & de Lillo,E. (2006). Database on Eriophyoidea (Acarina: Prostigma) of the World. Filemaker 4.0. West Virginia University, USA.
- Amrine, J.W.Jr. & Manson, D.C.M. (1996).
 Preparation, mounting and descriptive study of eriophyoid mites. In: Lindquist, E.E., Sabelis, M.W. and Bruin, J. (eds.)
 Eriophyoid mites-their biology, natural enemies, and control. Vol 6. Elsevier, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, World Crop Pest, 383-396 pp.
- Amrine, J.W.Jr. & Stasny, T.A. (1994). Catalog of the Eriophyoidea (Acarina: Prostigmata) of the world. Indira Publishing Houses, West Bloomfield, Michigan, 798 pp.
- Amrine, J.W.Jr., Stasny, T.A. & Flechtmann, C.H.W. (2003). A revised key to the world genera of the eriophyoidea (Acari: Prostigmata). Indira publishing houses, West Bloomfield, Michigan, 244 pp.
- Bhardwaj M., Tiwari R., Bhardwaj V. P. & Bhardwaj S. (2023). A Short Review on Various Biodiversity Indices. International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology. 385-389. 10.48175/IJARSCT-13153.
- Bhardwaj, S., Sharma, I., Sur, S. & Gupta, S. K. (2023a). Eriophyoid mites (Acari: Eriophyoidea) from Himachal Pradesh, India: New mite and host plant records.
- Chandrapatya, A., Konvipasruang, P. and Amrine, J. W. (2016). Present status of Eriophyoid mites in Thailand. Journal of the Acarological Society of Japan, 25(Supplement1), S83-S107.

- De Lillo E., Pozzebon A., Valenzano D. & Duso C. (2018). An Intimate Relationship Between Eriophyoid Mites and Their Host Plants – A Review. Front. *Plant Sci. 9*:1786. DOI:10.3389/fpls.2018.01786.
- Debnath, P. & Karmakar, K. (2016). Eriophyoid mites from Eastern India: description of three new species (Acari: Prostigmata: Eriophyoidea).
- Dyamanagouda, P., Vishnupriya, R., Ramaraju, K. and Mohankumar, S. (2020). Check list of Eriophyoidea Nalepa (Acari: Prostigmata) mites from Tamil Nadu, India. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies, 8*, 137-146.
- Monfreda, R., Lekveishvili, M., Petanovic, R. and Amrine, J. W.Jr. (2009). Collection and detection of eriophyoid mites. *Experimental and Applied Acarology*, *51*(1-3), 273-282. DOI:10.1007/s10493-009-9315-6.
- Oldfield, G. N. and Proeseler, G. (1996). 1.4.9 Eriophyoid mites as vectors of plant pathogens. Eriophyoid Mites Their Biology, Natural Enemies and Control, 259-275. DOI:10.1016/s1572-4379(96)80017-0.
- Otero-Colina, G., Ochoa R., Amrine, J. W. Jr., Hammond, J., Jordan, R. & Bauchan, G. R. (2018). Eriophyoid Mites Found on Healthy and Rose Rosette Diseased Roses in the United States.

Journal of Environmental Horticulture; 36 (4): 146–153.

DOI:https://doi.org/10.24266/0738-2898-36.4.146.

- Outline map of West Bengal, India, 2025. Available:https://dmaps.com/carte.php?num_car=31203&lan g=en. (Assessed on January 7, 2025.)
- Solo, K. M., Collins, S. B., Shires, M. K., Ochoa, R., Bauchan, G. R., Schneider, L. G., Henn, A., Jacobi, J. C., Williams-Woodward, J. L., Hajimorad, M. R., et al. (2020). A Survey of Rose rosette virus and Eriophyid Mites Associated with Roses in the South-eastern United States. *HortScience horts, 55*(8), 1288-1294. Available:https://doi.org/10.21273/HORTS Cl14653-20.
- Sur, S., & Chakrabarti, S. (2017). A new genus and two new species of grass feeding phyllocoptine mites (Acari: Eriophyoidea) from West Bengal, India. *Journal of the Acarological Society of Japan, 26*(2), 73-82.
- Sur, S., Roy, S., & Chakrabarti, S. (2018). New species and new records of eriophyoid mites (Acari: Eriophyoidea) from West Bengal, India. In Proceedings of the Zoological Society (*Vol. 71*, pp. 390-400). *Springer* India.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2025): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://prh.mbimph.com/review-history/4637