

Uttar Pradesh Journal of Zoology

Volume 46, Issue 12, Page 256-261, 2025; Article no.UPJOZ.5003 ISSN: 0256-971X (P)

# Assemblages of Insect Pollinators in BARI Sarisha-17 (*Brassica rapa* L.) Cultivation Fields at the University of Rajshahi, Bangladesh

Mst. Jannatul Ferdaus Rumpa <sup>a,b</sup>, Md. Nabab Hossain <sup>a,b</sup>, Saeem Miah <sup>a</sup>, Afroja Akter Anisa <sup>a</sup>, Takia Islam <sup>a</sup>, Farzana Yesmin <sup>a</sup>, Md. Johurul Islam <sup>b</sup> and Md. Aslam Khan <sup>a\*</sup>

 <sup>a</sup> Department of Zoology, Faculty of Biological Sciences, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi 6205, Bangladesh.
 <sup>b</sup> Institute of Environmental Science, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi, 6205, Bangladesh.

#### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.56557/upjoz/2025/v46i125062

**Open Peer Review History:** 

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://prh.mbimph.com/review-history/5003

Original Research Article

Received: 08/04/2025 Accepted: 10/06/2025 Published: 28/06/2025

# ABSTRACT

The provision of pollination services by pollinators is threatened by declines in their abundance and diversity. The reduction in pollinator populations may result in a decline in plant species diversity. Research on insect pollinators is a significant topic of discussion among researchers worldwide, primarily due to population declines attributed to various stressors, including climate change and

\*Corresponding author: Email: aslam.ru.zool47@gmail.com;

*Cite as:* Rumpa, Mst. Jannatul Ferdaus, Md. Nabab Hossain, Saeem Miah, Afroja Akter Anisa, Takia Islam, Farzana Yesmin, Md. Johurul Islam, and Md. Aslam Khan. 2025. "Assemblages of Insect Pollinators in BARI Sarisha-17 (Brassica Rapa L.) Cultivation Fields at the University of Rajshahi, Bangladesh". UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY 46 (12):256-61. https://doi.org/10.56557/upjoz/2025/v46i125062.

the scarcity of floral resources. This study investigates the variety and quantity of insect pollinators in BARI Sarisha-17 (*Brassica rapa* L.) Cultivation Fields at the University of Rajshahi are crucial to the success of agro-ecosystems. From November 2024 to February 2025, a field investigation was conducted to explore the diversity of insect pollinators. A total of 382 individuals belonging to 33 species of 17 families and 4 insect orders viz., Hymenoptera (6), Diptera (16), Lepidoptera (10), and Odonata (1) recorded in the mustard field. Dipterans were predominant (45.52%) and it was followed by Hymenoptera (42.67%), Lepidoptera (10.48%), and Odonata (0.79%). The diversity indexes were as follows: H' = 3.82, Evenness (E) = 0.8708, and Simpson Index = 0.9413. The significant variety and uniform distribution of insect pollinators in BARI Sarisha-17 fields underscore their essential function in facilitating pollination and improving crop yield. These results highlight the need to preserve pollinator habitats in agricultural settings to guarantee sustained crop production and ecosystem vitality. The findings highlight the ecological importance of pollinators in magnifying crop productivity through effective pollination services. Preserving such agroecosystems is essential for maintaining biodiversity and supporting sustainable agriculture and food security in the region.

Keywords: Ecosystem; insect pollinators; pollination; crop yield; Brassica rapa.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Intensive agriculture is one of the key drivers of global-pollinator decline. More ecologically sustainable cropping methods are urgently needed to preserve pollinators and to maintain future crop yields. Despite of undeniable support to agroecosystem biodiversity in general, these actions are independent from farming practices and lack crop specificity (Singh & Pramod, 2024; Dey et al., 2025). This approach oversimplifies the role of functional diversity for crop production and ignores the potential of insect-pollinated crop applications. such as intercropping, which similarly integrate additional floral resources and habitat connectivity into agricultural production (Järvinen et al., 2022; Dylewski et al., 2024). Pollination is a biological process and specifically the first step in a plant's reproductive cycle. The process involves the transfer of pollen grains from the anthers of a flower to the stigma of the same species (Khan et al., 2024). Crops of family Brassicaceae are very attractive for insect pollinators for a good source of pollens and nectar (Bashir et al., Globally 87.5% of flowering plants 2018). (Ollerton et al., 2011) and 35% of the eaten crops (Protim et al., 2023) depend on animal pollination. Insect pollinators and flowering plants have a reciprocal relationship (Deeksha et al., 2022) and are also key components of global food security (Kumar et al., 2024). Almost 75% of crop species depend on insect pollination (Protim et al., 2023). Pollination by insects not only increases crop yield but also improves the physiochemical properties of fruits (Shakeel et al., 2019). Insect-pollinated crops have a higher market value, making them economically

important to intensive cropping systems, where industrial-scale agriculture is crucial for the national economy (Protim et al., 2023).

(Brassica Mustard sp.) exhibits selfincompatibility, preventing its flowers from utilizing their pollen because the pollen is heavier and sticky, which is unable to be easily wind-borne (Protim et al., 2023). Brassica species need biological agents, such as several insect groups, for the transport of pollen from male to female flowers (Protim et al., 2023). Honeybees are considered the most significant insect pollinators for Brassica crops (Kumar et al., 2024). Other insects, including butterflies, flies, and wasps, also forage on Brassica flowers; however, their impact on yield enhancement is minimal (Devkota et al., 2021).

The provision of pollination services by pollinators is threatened by declines in their abundance and diversity. The reduction in pollinator populations may result in a decline in plant species diversity (Shakeel et al., 2019). The impact of pollinator scarcity on crop yield requires precise evaluation to assess the significance of pollinator decline resulting from various environmental stresses. The diversity and abundance of pollinators are negatively affected by anthropogenic disturbances or as activities such the destruction and fragmentation of habitats, the spread of pests and pathogens, invasion by non-native species, intensification, agricultural and genetically modified organisms (Shakeel et al., 2019, Devkota et al., 2021, Protim et al., 2023, Kumar et al., 2024).

Research on insect pollinators is a significant topic discussion among researchers of worldwide, primarily due to population declines attributed to various stressors, including climate change and the scarcity of floral resources. Though there are several studies have been conducted about the diversity of insect pollinators on various crops in Bangladesh, little study is available on insect pollinators in Mustard crops of agroecosystems in the Rajshahi region. Under these circumstances, the present study was conducted to identify insect pollinators, their relative abundance, and diversity indices in the mustard crops.

#### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

# 2.1 Experimental Location

The experiment was conducted at the model research agrofarm of the University of Rajshahi, from November 2024 to February 2025. The experimental field was located at 24.37° N latitude and 88.65° E longitude. We selected three mustard fields with four-meter square areas with a completely randomized design.

#### 2.2 Data Collection

The seeds of BARI Sarisha-17 (Brassica rapa) were sown in the field in November 2024. The scan/visual sampling method evaluated the diversity and frequency of flower-visiting insect pollinators linked to the blooms of the mustard species. Data were recorded weekly, with collections occurring in the morning from 10:00 to 12:00 PM and in the afternoon from 2:00 to 4:00 PM. For collecting the insects, the net sweeping method was used which has successfully been used by various workers (Shakeel and Mian Inavatullah 2015; Deeksha et al. 2022). The collected insects were placed into bottles with cotton soaked in ethyl acetate. All specimens were transported to the laboratory for additional analysis.

#### 2.3 Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was done with Microsoft Excel 2013 and biodiversity indices were calculated following standard

(i) Shannon- Wiener equation (1949):

$$H'(S) = -\sum_{i=1}^{S} pi * ln pi$$

Where pi = fraction of entire population made up of species; S = total number of species; i = proportion of species

(ii) Evenness Index (Hill, 1973):

$$E = H/ \ln S$$

Where, S = total number of species; H = Index of species;

(iii) Margalef's Index (Margalef, 1970):

d= (S-1)/ In N

Where, S = the total number of species; N = the total number of individuals in the sample

(iv) Simpson Dominance Index (1949):

 $D=1-\Sigma n(n-1)/\Sigma N(N-1)$ 

(v) Relative Abundance (%):

R = Isi/ ∑Nsi\*100

Where, Isi = Total Number of individual spp;  $\sum$  Nsi = Total Number of species population.

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

During the research time, the experimental field was watched and insect pollinators visiting the mustard crop were gathered. The pollinator insects of mustard sp. and agriculture ecosystems included 382 individuals belonging to 33 species of 17 families and 4 insect orders viz., Hymenoptera (6), Diptera (16), Lepidoptera (10), and Odonata (1) (Table 1). Kamel et al. (2015) identified 21 species of insect pollinators across 14 families within four orders that visited the flowers of canola, B. napus whereas Devi et al. (2017) recorded 88 insect species from nine orders and 31 families associated with Brassica Hymenopteran juncea. The pollinators comprising of 6 species belonging to the Apidae family. Among the species, Apis mellifera was the most dominant which is consistent with Kuniwal et al., (2014) and Shakeel and Mian Inavatullah (2015). In case of Dipterans comprises 17 species belonging to 10 families namely Muscidae, Tipulidae, Chironomidae, Sepedonidae, Calliphoridae, Syrphidae, Odontomviidae, Stratiomvidae Braconidae, where Devi et al. (2017) found 16 species belonging to 4 families. The family Syrphidae was the most prevalent and represented by six

species viz., Eristalinus taeniops, E. arvorum, Toxomerus politus, Baccha elongate, Syritta flaviventris. The Lepidopteran visitors comprise 10 species belonging to 5 families namely Erebidae, Nymphalidae, Crambidae, Pieridae, and Lycaenidae. Monappa and Sekarappa (2024) found 6 families and 30 species under Lepidopterans. The family Nymphalidae was preeminent and represented by *Junonia lemonias*, *J. almanac*, *J. atlites*, *and Ypthima ceylonica*. The Odonata was the least diversified order visiting mustard flowers which is in line with the result of (Monappa and Sekarappa, 2024);

 

 Table 1. Species assemblage and relative abundance of pollinators at BARI Sarisha-17 (Brassica rapa L.) cultivation fields at the university of Rajshahi

Order	Family	Common name	Scientific name	Number of individuals	Relative Abundance
Hymenoptera	Apidae	Giant honey bee	Apis dorsata	27	7.07
		Western honey	A. mellifera	57	14.92
		bee			
		Asian honey bee	A. cerana	38	9.95
		Cuckoo bee	Thyrenus nitidulus	15	3.93
		Carpenter bee	Xylocopa sp	13	3.40
		Small Carpenter	Ceratina sp	13	3.40
		bee			
Diptera	Muscidae	House fly	Musca domestica	28	7.33
	Tipulidae	Crane fly	Tipula paludosa	16	4.19
	Chironomidae	Midge fly	Chironomus	12	3.14
			plumosus		
	Sepedonidae	Marsh fly	Sepedon spinipes	10	2.62
	Calliphoridae	Green bottle fly	Lucilia sericata	16	4.18
	Syrphidae	Band-eyed drone fly	Eristalinus taeniops	23	6.02
		Hover fly	E. arvorum	3	0.79
		Hoverfly	Toxomerus politus	15	3.39
		Flower fly	Baccha elongata	4	1.05
		Yellow-belied	Syritta flaviventris	3	0.79
		hover fly			
	Braconidae	Peg-legged compost fly	Psyttalia fletcheri	5	1.30
	Odontomyiidae	Wood gnats	Odontomiya cincta	13	3.40
	-	Common green	Odontomiya	5	1.30
		colonel	angulata		
	Stratiomyidae	Black soldier fly	Hermetia illucens	7	1.83
	-	Soldier fly	Oplodontha viridula	4	1.05
		Soldier fly	Allograpta obliqua	12	3.14
Odonata	Coenagrionidae	Damselfly	lschnura heterosticta	3	0.79
Lepidoptera	Erebidae	Clover looper	Caenurgina	4	1.05
		moth	crassiuscula		
		Handmaiden moth	Amata cyssea	4	1.05
	Nymphalidae	Lemon pansy	Junonia lemonias	3	0.79
		Peacock pansy	J. almana	5	1.30
		Grey pansy	J. atlites	4	1.05
		White four-ring	Ypthima ceylonica	3	0.79
	Crambidae	Plam leafroller moth	Herpetogramma abdominalis	5	1.30
	Pieridae	Common grass yellow	Eurema hecabe	3	0.79
	Lycaenidae	Common grass blue	Zizina labradus	3	0.79
		Common grass	Z. otis	6	1.57

Devi et al., 2017), comprising one species (*Ischnura heterosticta*) belonging to the Coenagrionidae family.

Diptera was the most dominant order (176 individuals) on the mustard crop. The recorded Diptera order relative abundance was (45.52%) significantly higher than Hymenoptera (42.67%) and Lepidoptera (10.48%). In orchard fields in Paharpani Nainital, Uttarakhand India, Deeksha et al. (2022) observed a relative abundance of Lepidoptera (54.3%), Hymenoptera (20%), and Diptera (11.60%). However, the lowest relative abundance was recorded for Odonata (0.79%). A. mellifera was the most prevalent species, accounting for 14.92% of the total insect visitation, as evidenced by its relative abundance. This was succeeded by A. cerana, the second most prevalent insect visitor, which accounted for 9.95% of the insect fauna.

In the BARI Sarisha-17 (Brassica rapa L.) fields at the University of Rajshahi, insect pollinator diversity was notably high. The Shannon-Wiener Index (H') was 3.0448, and the Simpson Index was 0.9413, indicating a diverse and balanced community. An Evenness Index of 0.8708 suggests a uniform distribution of individuals among species, while the Margalef Richness Index of 32.83 reflects substantial species These findings underscore richness. the ecological value of BARI Sarisha-17 fields for supporting diverse pollinator assemblages. Deeksha et al. (2022), Usha and John (2015), and Subedi and Subedi (2019) all found diversity indices that are in agreement with the ones found in the present research.

# 4. CONCLUSION

The study finds a vast diversity and even distribution of insect pollinators in BARI Sarisha-17 (*Brassica rapa* L.) fields at the University of Rajshahi indicating a healthy and stable pollinator community. These findings highlight the ecological importance of pollinators in magnifying crop productivity through effective pollination services. Preserving such agroecosystems is essential for maintaining biodiversity and supporting sustainable agriculture and food security in the region.

#### DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative Al technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image

generators have been used during writing or editing of this manuscript.

## **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

- Bashir, M. A., Alvi, A. M., Khan, K. A., Rehmani, M. I. A., Ansari, M. J., Atta, S., ... & Tariq, M. (2018). Role of pollination in yield and physicochemical properties of tomatoes (*Lycopersicon esculentum*). *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*, 25(7), 1291–1297.
- Deeksha, A., Deepika, G., Rekha, R., Manisha, B., Geetika, A., & Kaushal, B. R. (2022).
  Diversity and abundance of insect pollinators in orchards and agricultural ecosystems in Paharpani, Nainital, Uttarakhand, India. *International Journal of Zoological Investigations*, 8(1), 24–31.
- Devi, M., Sharma, H. K., Thakur, R. K., Bhardwaj, S. K., Rana, K., Thakur, M., & Ram, B. (2017). Diversity of insect pollinators in reference to seed set of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 6(7), 2131–2144.
- Devkota, K., Santos, C. F. D., & Blochtein, B. (2021). Higher richness and abundance of flower-visiting insects close to natural vegetation provide contrasting effects on mustard yields. *Journal of Insect Conservation, 25*, 1–11.
- Dey, A., & Laskar, N. (2025). Nature's matchmakers: Insects and their pollination services for crops. *Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International, 26*(1), 22–34.
- Dylewski, Ł., Białas, J. T., Szymysł, A., & Banaszak-Cibicka, W. (2024). Pollinator assemblages in grasslands along river valleys depend on the urban matrix and local habitat scale variables. *Ecological Indicators, 159*, 111687.
- Järvinen, A., Himanen, S. J., Raiskio, S., & Hyvönen, T. (2022). Intercropping of insect-pollinated crops supports a characteristic pollinator assemblage. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment,* 332, 107930.
- Kamel, S. M., Mahfouz, H. M., Blal, A. E.-F. H., Said, M., & Mahmoud, M. F. (2015). Diversity of insect pollinators with

reference to their impact on yield production of canola (*Brassica napus* L.) in Ismailia, Egypt. *Pesticidi i Fitomedicina*, *30*(3), 161–168.

- Khan, M. A., Yasmin, N., Sultana, N., & Ara, N. (2024). An account of diversity of pollinators from Bangladesh. Indian Journal of Entomology, 86(3), 689–695.
- Kumar, K., Fartyal, R. S., Kanwal, K. S., Khanduri, S., & Topal, P. (2024). Diversity and flower-visiting frequency of insect pollinators associated with mustard blooms (*Brassica campestris*) in Kullu Valley, Himachal Pradesh. *Environmental Conservation Journal, 25*(4), 1120– 1126.
- Kunjwal, N., Kumar, Y., & Khan, M. S. (2014). Flower visiting insect pollinators of brown mustard (Brassica juncea L. Czern & Coss) and their foraging behaviour under caged and open pollination. African Journal of Agricultural Research, 9(16), 1278–1286.
- Monappa, N. B., & Sekarappa, B. (2024). Density, abundance and diversity of insect pollinators at agro-ecosystems of Kodagu District, Karnataka, India. *Asian Journal of Biological and Life Sciences, 13*(2), 384– 394.
- Ollerton, J., Winfree, R., & Tarrant, S. (2011). How many flowering plants are pollinated by animals? *Oikos, 120*(3), 321–326.

- Protim, D., El-Taj, F. H., Alim, M. A., & Hossain, M. A. (2023). Abundance of insect pollinators in a mustard field at Dinajpur in Bangladesh. *Ecology Journal*, 5(1), 49–54.
- Shakeel, M., Ali, H., Ahmad, S., Said, F., Khan, A. K., Bashir, A. M., Anjum, S. I., Islam, W., Ghramh, H. A., Ansari, M. J., & Ali, H. (2019). Insect pollinators diversity and abundance in *Eruca sativa* Mill. (arugula) and *Brassica rapa* L. (field mustard) crops. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences, 26*, 1704–1709.
- Shakeel, M., Inayatullah, M., & Ali, H. (2015). Checklist of insect pollinators and their relative abundance on two canola (*Brassica napus*) cultivars in Peshawar, Pakistan. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies, 3*(6), 326–330.
- Singh, M., & Mall, P. (2024). Biodiversity and foraging behaviour of major insect pollinators on some fruit crops. *Journal of Scientific Research and Reports, 30*(5), 879–888.
- Subedi, N., & Subedi, I. P. (2019). Pollinator insects and their impact on crop yield of mustard in Kusuma Parbat, Nepal. *Journal* of Integrated Science and Technology, 24(2), 68–75.
- Usha, A. U., & John, V. K. (2015). A study on insect diversity of a selected area in Wadakkancherry (Thrissur, Kerala). *Journal of Zoology Studies*, 2(3), 38–50.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2025): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://prh.mbimph.com/review-history/5003